arXiv:math/0511298v1 [math.DS] 11 Nov 2005

FORMAL CLASSIFICATION OF UNIPOTENT PARAMETERIZED DIFFEOMORPHISMS

JAVIER RIBÓN

Abstract

We provide a complete system of invariants for the formal classification of complex analytic unipotent germs of diffeomorphism at $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ fixing the orbits of a regular vector field. We reduce the formal classification problem to solve a linear differential equation. Then we describe the formal invariants; their nature depends on the position of the fixed points set $Fix\varphi$ with respect to the regular vector field preserved by φ. We get invariants specifically attached to higher dimension ($n \geq 3$) although generically they are analogous to the one-dimensional ones.

1. Introduction

We provide a complete system of invariants for the formal classification of complex analytic unipotent parameterized germs of diffeomorphism at $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. Consider coordinates $(x, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. Denote by $\text{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ the group of complex analytic germs of diffeomorphism at $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. We define the group

$$\text{Diff}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) = \{\varphi \in \text{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) : x_j \circ \varphi = x_j \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

of parameterized diffeomorphisms. The group

$$\text{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) = \left\{\varphi \in \text{Diff}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) : \frac{\partial(x \circ \varphi)}{\partial x}(0, \ldots, 0) = 1\right\}$$

is the set of unipotent elements of $\text{Diff}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. If $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ then we say that φ is a unipotent parameterized diffeomorphism (or up-diffeomorphism for shortness). Let $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$, we have that $(\partial(x \circ \varphi)/\partial x)(0) = 1$ if and only if $x \circ \varphi(x, 0, \ldots, 0) \in \text{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ is tangent to the identity. Thus the set of up-diffeomorphisms is the set of finite dimensional holomorphic perturbations of tangent to the identity germs of diffeomorphism.

The complex analytic germs of diffeomorphism in one complex variable are well-known. Those germs whose linear part is not periodic are formally linearizable. On the one hand they are analytically linearizable if the linear part is not a rotation. On the other hand we find "small divisor problems" (Siegel [13], Bruno [3], Yoccoz [15], Pérez-Marco [10]) leading to very complicated dynamics if we deal with non-linearizable diffeomorphisms whose fixed point is of indifferent type.

Date: 2nd February 2008.

Universidad de Valladolid, Departamento de Algebra, Geometria y Topologia, Paseo de la Magdalena s/n, Valladolid, Spain, 47011.

e-mail address: jfribon@impa.br.

MSC-class: 37F75, 32H02, 32S65, 32A05.

The study of the diffeomorphisms with periodic linear part can be reduced to the one of tangent to the identity diffeomorphisms where we know the formal, topological (Leau [6], Camacho [4]) and analytical (Birkhoff, Ecalle, Voronin [14], Malgrange [7]) classifications. The only topological invariant is the order of contact with the identity; this discrete invariant plus a numerical invariant called residue (cf. subsection 5.1) compose a complete system of formal invariants. The analytical classification is more complicated; we can express the invariants as a collection (changes of chart) of one-variable germs of diffeomorphism (Martinet and Ramis [8]). The number of changes of chart is twice the order of contact with the identity.

A natural generalization of germs of diffeomorphism at $(\mathbb{C},0)$ are parameterized diffeomorphisms. We are interested on the formal classification of parameterized diffeomorphisms. We denote the fixed points set of a diffeomorphism φ by $Fix\varphi$. Consider $\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ such that $(\partial(x\circ\varphi)/\partial x)(0)$ is not a root of the unit. The function linear part $\partial(x\circ\varphi)/\partial x : Fix\varphi \to \mathbb{C}$ is the only formal invariant attached to φ as in the one-dimensional case. Thus the task of obtaining a formal classification in $\mathrm{Diff}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ can be reduced to exhibit a complete system of formal invariants for up-diffeomorphisms.

We denote by $\widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}\,(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$, $\widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ the formal completions of $\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$, $\mathrm{Diff}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ and $\mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ respectively.

A unipotent $\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ is the exponential of a unique formal nilpotent vector field (see section 3 for definitions) that we denote by $\log\varphi$. Consider φ in $\mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$; we have that $\log\varphi$ is of the form $\exp(\hat{u}(x\circ\varphi - x)\partial/\partial x)$ where $\hat{u} \in \mathbb{C}[[x,x_1,\ldots,x_n]]$ is a unit. The logarithm of φ can be extended to $Fix\varphi$, more precisely

Proposition 1.1. *Let* $\varphi = \exp(\hat{u}(x\circ\varphi - x)\partial/\partial x) \in \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$*. Then* $\hat{u}$ *belongs to* $\lim_{\leftarrow} \mathbb{C}\{x,x_1,\ldots,x_n\}/I(x\circ\varphi - x)^j$*.*

In other words there exists $u_j \in \mathbb{C}\{x,x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$ such that $\hat{u} - u_j \in (x\circ\varphi - x)^j$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

We say that a germ of analytic variety at $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ is *fibered* if it is a union of orbits of $\partial/\partial x$. By definition $\hat{\rho} \in \widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}\,(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ is special with respect to $f=0$ (for $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x,x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$) if $\hat{\rho} \in \widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ and $\hat{\rho}_{|\gamma} \equiv Id \Leftrightarrow x\circ\hat{\rho} - x \in I(\gamma)$ for all non-fibered irreducible component γ of $f=0$.

Proposition 1.2. *Let* $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$*. Assume that* φ_1 *and* φ_2 *are formally conjugated. Then there exists* $\sigma \in \mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ *and a special* $\hat{\sigma} \in \widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ *(with respect to* $x\circ\varphi_2 - x = 0$*) such that* $(\hat{\sigma}\circ\sigma)\circ\varphi_1 = \varphi_2\circ(\hat{\sigma}\circ\sigma)$*.*

The last proposition implies that up to analytic change of coordinates every couple of formally conjugated up-diffeomorphisms are conjugated by a special element of $\widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$. We study the equivalence relation in $\mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ given by $\varphi_1 \sim \varphi_2$ if φ_1 and φ_2 are formally conjugated by a special transformation with respect to $Fix\varphi_1$. Every class of equivalence is contained in a set

$$\mathcal{D}_f = \{\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0) : (x\circ\varphi - x)/f \text{ is a unit}\}.$$

The classes of this equivalence relation are connected sets in the compact-open topology. As a consequence to determine whether or not there exists a formal special conjugation between up-diffeomorphisms can be reduced to solve a linear problem. More precisely we can associate to $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}_f$ the homological equation

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{f}\left(\frac{1}{\hat{u}_1} - \frac{1}{\hat{u}_2}\right)$$

where $\hat{u}_j = (\log \varphi_j)(x)/f$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Let $\prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j^{l_j} \prod_{j=1}^{1} F_j^{m_j}$ be the decomposition of f in irreducible components. By choice $F_j = 0$ is fibered for $1 \leq j \leq q$ whereas $f_k = 0$ is non-fibered for $1 \leq k \leq p$. We say that the homological equation is special (with respect to f) if there exists a solution of the form $\alpha = \hat{\beta}/(\prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j^{l_j - 1} \prod_{j=1}^{q} F_j^{m_j})$ where $\hat{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}[[x, x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$. Such a solution is also called special. We have

Proposition 1.3. *Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}_f \subset \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. Then φ_1 and φ_2 are formally conjugated by a special transformation if and only if the homological equation associated to φ_1 and φ_2 is special.*

Let $\varphi = \exp(\hat{u} f \partial/\partial x) \in \mathcal{D}_f$. The formal 1-form $dx/(\hat{u} f)$ is the dual of $\log \varphi$ in the relative cohomology of the vector field $\partial/\partial x$. Moreover there exists u in $\mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $\hat{u} - u \in (f)$ by proposition 1.1. Therefore we obtain

$$\frac{dx}{\hat{u} f} - \frac{dx}{u f} = \frac{1}{\hat{u} u} \frac{u - \hat{u}}{f} dx.$$

Since the right hand side does not have poles then the formal properties of $dx/(\hat{u} f)$ and $dx/(u f)$ are the same. The only formal invariant of $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_f \subset \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ for the special conjugation is the residue of $dx/(uf)$ at 0. The generalization of this invariant in the higher dimensional case is the collection of residues of $dx/(uf)$ at $Fix\varphi$. This collection defines a meromorphic function in every non-fibered irreducible component of $f = 0$.

There are other invariants which are purely related to higher dimension. For a non-zero $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ we define the additive group $Fr(f)$ of homological equations $\partial \alpha/\partial x = A/f$ ($A \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$) such that $(A/f)dx$ has vanishing residues. Moreover we denote by $Sp(f)$ the subgroup of $Fr(f)$ of special equations.

Theorem 1.1. *A complete system of formal invariants for the special conjugation in $\mathcal{D}_f \subset \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ is composed by the residue functions plus the complex vector space $Fr(f)/Sp(f)$.*

For $\mathcal{D}_f \subset \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ with $n \leq 1$ the only invariants are the residues, in other words we have $Fr(f)/Sp(f) = 0$. The situation is different in higher dimension; for instance for $f_0 = (x_2 - x x_1)^2$ and $D_{f_0} \subset \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$ we have that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Fr(f_0)/Sp(f_0) = 1$. Moreover we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Fr(f)/Sp(f) < +\infty$ for $\mathcal{D}_f \subset \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$. Thus besides the residue functions there are only finitely many linear invariants. In spite of that $Fr(f_0)/Sp(f_0) \sim \mathbb{C}\{x_3, \ldots, x_n\}$ is infinite dimensional if $\mathcal{D}_{f_0}$ is considered as a subset of $\mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ for $n + 1 \geq 4$.

The nature of $Fr(f)/Sp(f)$ depends on the *evil set* $S(f)$ of f. This set is the union of the orbits of $\partial/\partial x$ contained in non-fibered irreducible components γ of $f = 0$ such that $f \in I(\gamma)^2$.

Proposition 1.4. *Let $0 \neq f \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $S(f) = \emptyset$. Then $Fr(f)/Sp(f) = 0$.*

Consider the set

$$K(n) = \{f \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\} : f(0) = (\partial f/\partial x)(0) = 0\}$$

endowed with the Krull topology. The set

$$E(n) = \{f \in K(n) : f(x, 0, \ldots, 0) \not\equiv 0\}$$

is open and dense in $K(n)$. Moreover $S(f) = \emptyset$ for all $f \in E(n)$.

Theorem 1.2. *Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. There exists a dense open subset E of $K(n)$ such that for $f \in E$ the residue functions provide a complete system of formal invariants for the special conjugation in $\mathcal{D}_f \subset \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$.*

2. Notations and definitions

We deal with complex analytic germs of diffeomorphism defined at $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. Consider coordinates $(x, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. We define the group

$$\mathrm{Diff}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) = \{\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) : x_j \circ \varphi = x_j \ \forall 1 \leq j \leq n\}$$

of parameterized diffeomorphisms. We denote by $\mathrm{Diff}_u(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ of unipotent germs of diffeomorphism. An element φ of $\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ is unipotent if its linear part is unipotent, in other words if $j^1\varphi$ has the unique eigenvalue 1. As a consequence $\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ is unipotent if and only if $(\partial(x \circ \varphi)/\partial x)(0) = 1$. We will study the elements in the group

$$\mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) \overset{def}{=} \mathrm{Diff}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) \cap \mathrm{Diff}_u(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$$

of unipotent parameterized diffeomorphisms. For the sake of simplicity we will usually replace the expression unipotent parameterized diffeomorphism with the shorter up-diffeomorphism. The groups that we just defined have formal completions, we will denote them $\widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$, $\widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}_u(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ and $\widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$.

The unipotent germs of diffeomorphism are related with nilpotent vector fields. We denote by $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ the set of germs of complex analytic vector field which are singular at 0. We denote by $\mathcal{X}_N(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ the subset of $\mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ of nilpotent vector fields. The formal completions of these spaces are denoted by $\hat{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ and $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_N(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ respectively.

3. Basic properties of the unipotent parameterized diffeomorphisms

We denote by $\exp(tX)$ the flow of the vector field X, it is the unique solution of the differential equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\exp(tX) = X(\exp(tX))$$

with initial condition $\exp(0X) = Id$. The flow can be developed in power series, such a property allows to define the formal flow for formal vector fields. We define $X^0(g) = g$ and $X^{j+1}(g) = X^j(X(g))$ for all $j \geq 0$. The exponential application can be expressed in the form

$$\exp(tX) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^j \frac{X^j(x)}{j!}, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^j \frac{X^j(x_1)}{j!}, \ldots, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} t^j \frac{X^j(x_n)}{j!} \right).$$

For any formal nilpotent vector field $\hat{X}$ the sums defining $\exp(t\hat{X})$ converge in the Krull topology. The exponential application is by definition $\exp(1\hat{X})$. Next proposition is classical; it relates formal nilpotent vector fields and formal unipotent transformations.

Proposition 3.1. *The exponential application induces a bijective mapping from* $\hat{\mathcal{X}}_N(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ *onto* $\widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}_u(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$. *Moreover, if* $\hat{X} \in \hat{\mathcal{X}}_N(\mathbb{C}^n,0)$ *then every component of* $\exp(t\hat{X})$ *belongs to* $\mathbb{C}[t][[x_1,\dots,x_n]]$.

We will call logarithm of a formal up-transformation φ the only formal nilpotent vector field whose exponential is φ. We denote by $\log\varphi$ the logarithm of φ.

3.1. Logarithm of a up-diffeomorphism and the fixed points set.

A up-diffeomorphism preserves the fibration $dx_1 = \dots = dx_n = 0$. Somehow its logarithm has to preserve the same fibration too.

Proposition 3.2. *Let* $\varphi \in \widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}_u(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$. *Then* $\varphi \in \widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ *if and only if* $\log\varphi$ *can be expressed in the form* $\hat{f}\partial/\partial x$.

Remark 3.1. *Since the logarithm* $\log\varphi = \hat{f}\partial/\partial x$ *of* $\varphi \in \widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ *is nilpotent then* $\hat{f}(0)=0$ *and* $(\partial\hat{f}/\partial x)(0)=0$.

proof of proposition 3.2. The implication $(\Leftarrow)$ is a direct consequence of the formula defining $\exp(\log\varphi)$.

Let us prove the implication $(\Rightarrow)$. The components of

$$\exp(t\log\varphi) = (\varphi_0(t,x,x_1,\dots,x_n),\dots,\varphi_n(t,x,x_1,\dots,x_n))$$

belong to $\mathbb{C}[t][[x,x_1,\dots,x_n]]$ for $0 \le j \le n$. Since $\widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ is a group then $\varphi_j(t,x,x_1,\dots,x_n) = x_j$ for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \le j \le n$. For $1 \le j \le n$ the power series $\varphi_j - x_j$ is identically 0 because it is 0 for $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and it is polynomial in t. Since

$$(\log\varphi)(x_j) = \lim_{t\to 0}\frac{x_j \circ \exp(t\log\varphi) - x_j}{t} = \lim_{t\to 0} 0 = 0$$

for $1 \le j \le n$ then $\log\varphi$ is of the form $\hat{f}\partial/\partial x$. □

A up-diffeomorphism φ has a fixed points set $x \circ \varphi - x = 0$; it is a germ of hypersurface if $\varphi \neq Id$. We prove next that the fixed points sets of φ and the singular set of $\log\varphi$ coincide.

Proposition 3.3. *Let* $\varphi = \exp(\hat{f}\partial/\partial x)$ *be a up-diffeomorphism. There exists a formal unit* $\hat{u} \in \mathbb{C}[[x,x_1,\dots,x_n]]$ *such that* $x \circ \varphi - x = \hat{u}\hat{f}$.

Proof. We define

$$h_0 = x,\ h_1 = \hat{f},\ \dots,\ h_{j+1} = \hat{f}\partial h_j/\partial x \ \ \forall j > 0.$$

We have $\varphi = (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} h_j/j!, x_1,\dots,x_n)$. Since $\hat{f}\partial/\partial x$ is nilpotent then $h_j/\hat{f}$ belongs to the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{C}[[x,x_1,\dots,x_n]]$ for $j \ge 2$. We define $\hat{u} = 1+\sum_{j=2}^{\infty} h_j/(j!\hat{f})$. Clearly the series $\hat{u}$ is the unit we were looking for. □

4. FORMAL TRANSVERSALITY OF THE LOGARITHM

The logarithm of a up-diffeomorphism can be extended to the fixed points set. Roughly speaking, the logarithm is convergent in the tangent directions to the fixed points set but it can diverges in the transversal direction. We introduce some definitions to make rigorous this very simple idea.

The formal completion of a complex space $(U, \Theta(U))$ (U is a topological space and $\Theta(U)$ is its sheaf of analytic functions) along a sub-variety V given by a sheaf of ideals I is the space $(U, \hat{\Theta}_I(U))$ where

$$\hat{\Theta}_I(U) = \lim_{\leftarrow} \frac{\Theta(U)}{I^j}.$$

Throughout this paper we consider three types of formal transversality:

Definition 4.1. *Let $V \subset (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ be a germ of analytic variety given by an ideal $I(V)$. A series $\hat{g} \in \mathbb{C}[[x, x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ is*

- *transversally formal along V (or in a equivalent way t.f. along V) if $\hat{g} \in \lim_{\leftarrow} \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}/I(V)^j$.*
- *uniformly transversally formal along V (or u.t.f. along V) if $\hat{g}$ belongs to $\lim_{\leftarrow} \vartheta(U)/I(V)^j$ for some neighborhood of the origin U.*
- *uniformly semi-meromorphic along V (or u.s.m. along V) if $\hat{g}$ belongs to $\lim_{\leftarrow} (\vartheta(U))_{I(V)}/I(V)^j$ for some neighborhood of the origin U. Note that $(\vartheta(U))_{I(V)}$ is the localized of the ring of holomorphic functions in U with respect to the ideal $I(V)$.*

For the u.s.m. definition we suppose that V is irreducible. In general we say that $\hat{g}$ is u.s.m. along V if $\hat{g}$ is u.s.m. along every irreducible component of V.

The analytic spaces that we complete are $(0, \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\})$, $(U, \vartheta(U))$ and $(U, (\vartheta(U))_{I(V)})$ respectively. We say that $X \in \hat{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ is t.f. along V if all the functions $X(x)$, $X(x_1)$, …, $X(x_n)$ are t.f. along V. The other kinds of formal transversality for formal vector fields are defined in an analogous way.

Denote the fixed points set of a diffeomorphism φ by $Fix\varphi$. Let φ be a up-diffeomorphism and consider an irreducible component γ of $Fix\varphi$. We say that γ is *unipotent* with respect to φ if $\partial(x \circ \varphi)/\partial x \equiv 1$ in γ. If γ is unipotent then the germ of φ at P is unipotent for all $P \in \gamma$. We will prove that $\log \varphi$ is u.t.f. along γ.

Let $P \in Fix\varphi$. Let φ_P be the 1-dimensional germ obtained in the neighborhood of P by restricting φ to $\cap_{j=1}^{n} (x_j = x_j(P))$. Then φ_P can be embedded in a formal flow except if $|(\partial(x \circ \varphi)/\partial x)(P)|$ is a root of the unit different than 1 and φ_P is not periodic. As a consequence there is no hope in general for $\log \varphi$ to be u.t.f. along the non-unipotent components of $Fix\varphi$. Nevertheless, if φ_P can be embedded in a formal flow for all $P \in \gamma$ contained in an irreducible component γ of $Fix\varphi$ then $\log \varphi$ is u.t.f. along γ. We will make clear the previous assertions.

4.1. **One-dimensional results.**

The next results are well-known and they are included here for the sake of clarity.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\tau \in \mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ such that $j^1\tau \neq Id$. Then τ is the exponential of a formal vector field if and only if it is formally linearizable.*

Proof. Let $\hat{X} = (a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \ldots)\partial/\partial z$ be a formal vector field such that $\tau = \exp(\hat{X})$. Since $j^1\tau = e^{a_1} z$ and $j^1\tau \neq Id$ then $a_1 \neq 0$. The linear part is a complete system of invariants for the elements of $\hat{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ with no vanishing linear part. As a consequence there exists $\hat{\sigma} \in \widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}\,(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ such that $\hat{\sigma}_*(a_1 z \partial/\partial z) = \hat{X}$. By taking exponentials we obtain $\hat{\sigma} \circ j^1\tau = \tau \circ \hat{\sigma}$.

Suppose $\hat{\sigma} \circ j^1\tau = \tau \circ \hat{\sigma}$. Since $j^1\tau = \exp(cz\partial/\partial z)$ for all $c \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $e^c = (\partial\tau/\partial z)(0)$ then $\tau = \exp(\hat{\sigma}_*(cz\partial/\partial z))$. $\square$

Proposition 4.2. *Let* $\tau \in \mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C},0)$ *with* $j^1\tau$ *not periodic. Assume that we have* $\tau = \exp(\hat{X}) = \exp(\hat{Y})$ *for* $\hat{X}$, $\hat{Y} \in \hat{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{C},0)$ *such that* $j^1\hat{X} = j^1\hat{Y}$. *Then* $\hat{X} = \hat{Y}$.

Proof. We can suppose $\tau = j^1\tau$ by proposition 4.1. It is enough to prove that $\hat{X} = j^1\hat{X}$. Since $j^1\hat{X} \neq 0$ there exists $\hat{\sigma} \in \widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}\,(\mathbb{C},0)$ such that $\hat{\sigma}_*(j^1\hat{X}) = \hat{X}$. By taking exponentials we obtain $\hat{\sigma} \circ \tau = \tau \circ \hat{\sigma}$. Since τ is linear and not periodic the center of τ in $\widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}\,(\mathbb{C},0)$ is the linear group. Therefore $\hat{\sigma}$ is linear, that implies $j^1\hat{X} = \hat{\sigma}_*(j^1\hat{X}) = \hat{X}$. $\square$

Proposition 4.3. *Let* $\tau \in \mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C},0)$ *such that* $j^1\tau$ *is periodic and* τ *is linearizable. Suppose we have* $h \circ \tau \circ h^{(-1)}(z) - j^1\tau(z) = O(z^{k+1})$ *for some* $h \in \mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C},0)$ *and some* $k \geq 1$. *Then there exists* $\sigma \in \mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C},0)$ *such that* $j^k\sigma = j^kh$ *and* $\sigma \circ \tau \circ \sigma^{(-1)} = j^1\tau$.

Proof. It is enough to prove that if $\tau - j^1\tau = O(z^{k+1})$ there exists $\sigma \in \mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C},0)$ such that $\sigma \circ \tau = j^1\tau \circ \sigma$ and $\sigma(z) - z = O(z^{k+1})$. We denote $j^1\tau = az$ and the period of $j^1\tau$ by q. Since $\tau^{(q)}$ is formally conjugated to $(j^1\tau)^{(q)} = Id$ then $\tau^{(q)} = Id$. We are done by defining $\sigma(z) = (\sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \tau^{(j)}(z)/a^j)/q$. $\square$

4.2. **Division neighborhoods. Convergence by restriction.**

We work in domains in which the components of $Fix\varphi$ behave like their germs at 0. Consider $g \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. We say that a domain U is a *division neighborhood* for g if

- There is a decomposition $g = g_1^{l_1} \ldots g_r^{l_r}$ of g in irreducible factors in the ring $\mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $g_j \in \vartheta(U)$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$.

- The regular part of $g_j = 0$ is connected in U for all $1 \leq j \leq r$.

The definition of division neighborhood is intended to extend the division of germs to bigger domains. More precisely, if U is a division neighborhood for g then

$$a = gb \text{ where } a \in \vartheta(U) \text{ and } b \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \implies b \in \vartheta(U).$$

The following results can be immediately deduced from the definition of division neighborhood.

Lemma 4.1. *Let* U *be a division neighborhood for* g *and consider a domain* $V \subset U$ *such that* $U \cap (g=0) = V \cap (g=0)$. *Then* V *is a division neighborhood for* g.

Lemma 4.2. *Let* U *be a division neighborhood for* g. *Consider an analytic set* $S \not\ni 0$. *Then* $U \setminus S$ *is a division neighborhood for* g.

The last lemma is trivial since we can not break the connectedness of the regular parts by removing sets of real codimension at least 2.

It is not difficult to find a division neighborhood for $f = x \circ \varphi - x$. Let $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1})$ be a set of coordinates such that any irreducible component γ of $Fix\varphi$ can be expressed as the vanishing set of a monic Weierstrass polynomial in the variable y_1. Let $(c_1, \ldots, c_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \ldots \times \mathbb{R}^+$. Every polydisk $\cap_{j=1}^{n+1}(|y_j| < c_j)$ small enough such that

$$(|y_1| = c_1) \cap \cap_{j=2}^{n+1}(|y_j| < c_j) \cap (f=0) = \emptyset$$

is a division neighborhood for f.

We define a new concept, it is simpler to handle than the formal transversality; it is a sort of formal transversality at the 0-level. We say that $h \in \mathbb{C}[[x, x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ *converges by restriction to* γ if there exists $h' \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $h - h'$ belongs to $I(\gamma)$ where $I(\gamma)$ is the ideal of γ. If $V \subset \gamma$ is a neighborhood of 0 in γ we say that h *converges by restriction to* γ *in* V if h' can be chosen holomorphic in a neighborhood of V.

Lemma 4.3. *Let* h *be an irreducible element of* $\mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. *Consider series* $\hat{c} \in \mathbb{C}[[x, x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ *and* $d \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \setminus (h)$. *Suppose* $\hat{c}d$ *converges by restriction to* $h = 0$. *Then* $\hat{c}$ *converges by restriction to* $h = 0$.

There is also a uniform version of the previous lemma but we have to be careful with the setting. We keep the notations in the previous lemma. We consider coordinates $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1})$ such that

$$h = v(y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1})(y_1^l + y_1^{l-1} a_{l-1}(y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1}) + \ldots + a_0(y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1}))$$

for some unit v and some functions a_j $(0 \leq j \leq l-1)$. For a generic point $(y_2^0, \ldots, y_n^0)$ the number of points in $h(y_1, y_2^0, \ldots, y_n^0) = 0$ is l. We enumerate them $\alpha_1(y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1})$, …, $\alpha_l(y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1})$. We define

$$\Delta(h, d) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^{l} (d \circ \alpha_j) \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq l} (\alpha_j - \alpha_k)^2 \right) (y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1}).$$

The function $\Delta(h, d)$ is well-defined because it is symmetric in the functions α_1, …, α_l; it is holomorphic in $\Delta(h, d) \neq 0$ and continuous in a neighborhood of the origin. By Riemman's theorem $\Delta(h, d)$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin. There exists a division neighborhood $D = D_1 \times D_{2,\ldots,n+1} \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ for h such that $D_{2,\ldots,n+1}$ is a division neighborhood for Δ. Given any neighborhood of the origin W the set D can be chosen to be contained in W. Suppose that d converges in a neighborhood of $D \cap (h = 0)$. In this context we prove

Lemma 4.4. *If* $\hat{c}d$ *converges by restriction to* $h = 0$ *in* $D \cap (h = 0)$ *and* $d \notin (h)$ *then* $\hat{c}$ *converges by restriction to* $h = 0$ *in* $D \cap (h = 0)$.

proof of lemmas 4.3 and 4.4. It is clear that the lemma 4.4 implies the lemma 4.3. Consider a holomorphic function c_0 defined in the neighborhood of $D \cap (h = 0)$ such that $\hat{c}d - c_0 \in (h)$. Next step is using the Weierstrass division, we want to divide c_0/d by h. The remainder of that division is

$$R = \sum_{j=1}^{l} \frac{c_0(\alpha_j(y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1}))}{d(\alpha_j(y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1}))} \frac{\prod_{k \neq j} (y_1 - \alpha_k(y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1}))}{\prod_{k \neq j} (\alpha_j(y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1}) - \alpha_k(y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1}))}.$$

The function ΔR is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin and since Δ does not depend on y_1 then ΔR is the remainder of the Weierstrass division $[(\Delta c_0)/d]/h$. We define $\hat{R}$ the remainder of the Weierstrass division $\hat{c}/h$, it is a formal power series. We have

$$\Delta c_0 - \Delta R d \in (h) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta \hat{c} d - \Delta \hat{R} d \in (h).$$

Since $d \notin (h)$ we obtain $\Delta R - \Delta \hat{R} \in (h)$. Both ΔR and $\Delta \hat{R}$ are polynomials in the variable y_1 whose degree is lesser or equal than $l-1$. Therefore, we have $\Delta R \equiv \Delta \hat{R}$ by uniqueness of the Weierstrass division. The function Δ divides the l coefficients of the polynomial ΔR. As a consequence R is convergent in the neighborhood of the origin. Since $D_{2,\ldots,n+1}$ is a division neighborhood for Δ then R is defined in $\mathbb{C} \times D_{2,\ldots,n+1}$. The series $\hat{c}$ converges by restriction to $h = 0$ in $D \cap (h = 0)$ since $\hat{c} - R = \hat{c} - \hat{R} \in (h)$. $\square$

We relate formal transversality along an analytic hypersurface with formal transversality along its irreducible components.

Lemma 4.5. *Let f be an element of $\mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Then $\hat{u}$ in $\mathbb{C}[[x, x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ is t.f. (resp. u.t.f.) along $f = 0$ if and only if $\hat{u}$ is t.f. (resp. u.t.f.) along every irreducible component of $f = 0$.*

Proof. The sufficient condition is obvious.

Suppose we are in the u.t.f. case, the proof for the t.f. case is simpler. Let $f = f_1^{n_1} \ldots f_l^{n_l}$ be the decomposition of f in irreducible factors in $\mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. We choose coordinates $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1})$ such that $f_j = 0$ can be expressed up to a unit as a monic Weierstrass polynomial in the variable y_1 for all $1 \leq j \leq l$. We can choose a polydisk $D = D_1 \times D_{2,\ldots,n+1}$ such that $(\partial D_1 \times D_{2,\ldots,n+1}) \cap (f = 0) = \emptyset$. Moreover, we can suppose that D is a division neighborhood for every f_j and $D_{2,\ldots,n+1}$ is a division neighborhood for every $\Delta(f_j, f_k)$ with $j \neq k$. Finally we suppose that $\hat{u} \in \lim_{\leftarrow} \vartheta(D)/(f_j)^k$ for all $1 \leq j \leq l$.

Let $F = \prod_{k=1}^{l} f_k^{a_k}$. Suppose we have $u \in \vartheta(D)$ such that $\hat{u} - u \in (F)$. Fix $j \in \{1, \ldots, l\}$; it is enough to prove the existence of a function $v \in \vartheta(D)$ such that $\hat{u} - v \in (f_j F)$. We claim that $(\hat{u} - u)/f_j^{a_j}$ converges by restriction to $f_j = 0$. There exists $u_{a_j} \in \vartheta(D)$ such that $\hat{u} - u_{a_j}$ belongs to $({f_j}^{a_j+1})$ by hypothesis. Denote $w = (u_{a_j} - u)/f_j^{a_j}$; we have $(\hat{u} - u)/f_j^{a_j} - w \in (f_j)$. By lemma 4.4 the series $(\hat{u} - u)/F$ converges by restriction to $f_j = 0$ in $D \cap (f_j = 0)$. As a consequence there exists $b(y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1}) \in \vartheta(\mathbb{C} \times D_{2,\ldots,n+1}) \subset \vartheta(D)$ such that $(\hat{u} - u)/F - b \in (f_j)$. This implies $\hat{u} - (u + bF) \in (f_j F)$. $\square$

Consider a set $W \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$. We can define the ring G_W of germs of functions in a neighborhood of W. The next lemma provides a handy characterization of u.t.f. functions.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $V \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a germ of analytic hypersurface at 0. Then a series $\hat{g} \in \mathbb{C}[[x, x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ is u.t.f. along V if and only if $\hat{u}$ belongs to $\lim_{\leftarrow} G_{V \cap W}/I(V)^j$ for some neighborhood W of the origin.*

Proof. The sufficient condition is obvious.

Consider coordinates $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1})$ such that $I(V) = (h)$ for some monic Weierstrass polynomial $h \in \mathbb{C}[y_1][[y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1}]]$ in the variable y_1. Choose a polydisk $D = D_1 \times D_{2,\ldots,n+1} \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ in the variables $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1})$ such that $(\partial D_1 \times D_{2,\ldots,n+1}) \cap V = \emptyset$. Moreover, we choose D such that it is a division neighborhood for h and $D \cap V \subset W$. We denote $\pi(y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1}) = (y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1})$. There exists a function $b_j \in G_{V \cap W}$ such that $\hat{g} - b_j \in (h^j)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Since h^j is a monic polynomial in y_1 we can consider the remainder g_j of the Weierstrass division b_j/h^j. Since $b_j \in G_{D \cap V}$ then $g_j \in \vartheta(\pi^{-1}(\pi(D \cap V))) \subset \vartheta(D)$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Clearly $\hat{g}$ is u.t.f. along V. $\square$

Remark 4.1. *The results in this section can be enounced in the uniform semi-meromorphic case with minor adjustments. For the sake of simplicity we omit such a formulation.*

4.3. **Main results.** Fix $\varphi = \exp(\hat{u}(x \circ \varphi - x)\partial/\partial x) \in \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ and an irreducible component γ of $Fix\varphi$. Consider an irreducible equation $g = 0$ of γ. Denote $f = x \circ \varphi - x$. Let e be the multiplicity of γ in $f = 0$, i.e. the greatest $e \in \mathbb{N}$ such that g^e divides f. Denote f/g^e by h. We choose a set of coordinates $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1})$ and a polydisk $D = D_1 \times D_{2,\ldots,n+1} \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ in the variables $(y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1})$ such that $(\partial D_1 \times D_{2,\ldots,n+1}) \cap \gamma = \emptyset$ and

- φ is holomorphic in D.
- Up to a multiplicative unit g is of the form
  $$g = y_1^l + y_1^{l-1} a_{l-1}(y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1}) + \ldots + a_0(y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1})$$
  where $a_j(0) = 0$ for all $0 \leq j \leq l - 1$.
- D is a division neighborhood for $f = 0$.
- $D_{2,\ldots,n+1}$ is a division neighborhood for $\Delta(g, h)$.

If γ is a non-unipotent component there are two more conditions:

- $D_{2,\ldots,n+1}$ is a division neighborhood for $\Delta(g, \partial f/\partial x)$.
- $\ln(\partial(x \circ \varphi)/\partial x)$ is a holomorphic function defined in D such that the set $[\partial(x \circ \varphi)/\partial x = 1] \cap (D \cap \gamma)$ is connected.

These notations are fixed throughout this section.

Proposition 4.4. *Let φ be a up-diffeomorphism and let γ be a unipotent irreducible component of $Fix\varphi$. Then $\log\varphi$ is u.t.f. along γ.*

Proof. The proprieties $e > 1$ if γ is non-fibered or $g|\partial g/\partial x$ if γ is fibered imply the existence of a formal series $\hat{w}$ such that
$$\varphi = (x + \hat{u}g^e h + \hat{w}g^{e+1}h, x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}).$$
It is a consequence of the Taylor series expansion for the exponential mapping. Hence $u_1 = 1 = (x \circ \varphi - x)/f \in \vartheta(D)$ satisfies $\hat{u} - u_1 \in (g)$.

We proceed by induction. Suppose $\hat{u} = u_\alpha + g^\alpha \hat{v}$ where $u_\alpha \in G_{D\cap\gamma}$; the result is already proved for $\alpha = 1$. We want to find a similar expression for $\alpha + 1$. It is enough to prove the existence of $v \in G_{D\cap\gamma}$ such that $\hat{v} - v \in (g)$. We have
$$x \circ \varphi = x \circ \exp(u_\alpha f \partial/\partial x) + g^{\alpha+e} h\hat{v} + g^{\alpha+e+1} h\hat{A}$$
for a certain formal series $\hat{A}$. The vector field $u_\alpha f\partial/\partial x$ is defined in a neighborhood of $D\cap\gamma$ and it vanishes in γ. Therefore, its exponential is defined in a neighborhood of $D \cap \gamma$. We define
$$d = (x \circ \varphi - x \circ \exp(u_\alpha f\partial/\partial x))/g^{\alpha+e}.$$
We obtain that $d \in G_{D\cap\gamma}$ by lemma 4.1 since D is a division neighborhood for f and then for g. We have $h\hat{v} - d \in (g)$, thus $h\hat{v}$ converges by restriction to γ in $D\cap\gamma$. By applying lemma 4.4 we get that $\hat{v}$ converges by restriction to γ in $D\cap\gamma$. Then there exists $v \in G_{D\cap\gamma}$ such that $\hat{v} - v \in (g)$. We define $u_{\alpha+1} = u_\alpha + g^\alpha v$, we have $\hat{u} - u_{\alpha+1} \in (g^{\alpha+1})$. □

Fix $\varphi = \exp(\hat{u}(x\circ\varphi-x)\partial/\partial x) \in \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ and an irreducible component γ of $Fix\varphi$. We can express $\hat{u}$ in the form $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j^\gamma g^j$ where $u_j^\gamma \in \mathbb{C}[y_1][[y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1}]]$

is a polynomial in y_1 such that $\deg_{y_1} u_j^\gamma \leq l-1$ for all $j \geq 0$. These properties characterize the sequence $\{u_j^\gamma\}$ since u_0^γ is the remainder of the Weierstrass division $\hat{u}/g$, the series u_1^γ is the Weierstrass remainder of $[(\hat{u}-u_0^\gamma)/g]/g$ and so on.

We define $E_0^\gamma = E_1^\gamma = \emptyset$ and

$$E_k^\gamma = \left\{ Q \in \gamma \ : \ \exists\, 1 < j \leq k \text{ s.t. } \left(\frac{\partial (x \circ \varphi)}{\partial x} \right)^j (Q) = 1 \right\} \setminus \left\{ \frac{\partial (x \circ \varphi)}{\partial x} = 1 \right\}$$

for all $k \geq 2$. We define $\pi(y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1}) = (y_2, \ldots, y_{n+1})$.

Lemma 4.7. *(Generic expression) Let $\varphi = \exp(\hat{u} f \partial/\partial x)$ be a up-diffeomorphism and let γ be a non-unipotent irreducible component of $Fix\varphi$. Then u_j^γ is holomorphic in $\pi^{-1}(D_{2,\ldots,n+1} \setminus \pi(E_j^\gamma))$ for all $j \geq 0$. In particular $u_j^\gamma \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ for all $j \geq 0$. Moreover, we have*

$$\varphi = \exp\left((\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j^\gamma g^j) g h \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)$$

in the neighborhood of every point in $\gamma \cap [D \setminus \pi^{-1}(\overline{\cup_{j \geq 0} \pi(E_j^\gamma)})]$.

Proof. We have

$$x \circ \varphi - \left(x + \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (u_0^\gamma)^k h^k (\partial g/\partial x)^{k-1} g \right) \in (g^2) \tag{1}$$

and then $\partial(x \circ \varphi)/\partial x - e^{u_0^\gamma h \partial g/\partial x} \in (g)$. We deduce that $u_0^\gamma h \partial g/\partial x$ converges by restriction to γ in $D \cap \gamma$. By lemma 4.4 there exists $u_0' \in G_{D \cap \gamma}$ such that $u_0^\gamma - u_0' \in (g)$. Since u_0^γ coincides with the remainder of the Weierstrass division u_0'/g then u_0^γ is holomorphic in $\pi^{-1}(D_{2,\ldots,n+1})$. We denote $L = e^{u_0^\gamma h \partial g/\partial x}$.

We are going to prove the result by induction on j. The result is true for u_0^γ. Suppose u_j^γ is holomorphic in $\pi^{-1}(D_{2,\ldots,n+1} \setminus \pi(E_j^\gamma))$ for all $j < \alpha$. We will prove that u_α^γ is holomorphic in $\pi^{-1}(D_{2,\ldots,n+1} \setminus \pi(E_\alpha^\gamma))$. Let $u = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha-1} u_j^\gamma g^j$; we denote $u_0 = u_0^\gamma$ and $\hat{v} = (\hat{u} - u)/g^\alpha$.

Let $\hat{B}_0 = H_0 = x$; we define $\hat{B}_{j+1} = \hat{u} g h \partial \hat{B}_j/\partial x$ and $H_{j+1} = u g h \partial H_j/\partial x$ for $j \geq 0$. The next step in the proof is proving by induction that $\hat{B}_j$ ($j \geq 0$) can be expressed in the form

$$\hat{B}_j = H_j + \hat{v} g^{\alpha+1} h^j u_0^{j-1} (\partial g/\partial x)^{j-1} C_j + g^{\alpha+2} h \hat{D}_j$$

where $\hat{D}_j$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}[[x, x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ and $C_j \in \mathbb{C}$. The relations defining the sequence are $C_0 = 0$ and $C_j = (\alpha+1) C_{j-1} + 1$ for all $j > 0$. The induction result is immediate for $j = 0$ and $j = 1$. We can develop

$$\hat{B}_{j+1} = (\partial \hat{B}_j/\partial x)(u + g^\alpha \hat{v}) g h$$

to obtain

$$\hat{B}_{j+1} \equiv \left[\frac{\partial H_j}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\hat{v} g^{\alpha+1} h^j u_0^{j-1} \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \right)^{j-1} C_j \right) \right] (u g h + g^{\alpha+1} h \hat{v})$$

modulo $(g^{\alpha+2} h)$. By using $u - u_0 \in (g)$ and the equation 1 we get

$$\partial H_j/\partial x - u_0^j h^j (\partial g/\partial x)^j \in (g).$$

This leads us to the desired expression

$$\hat{B}_{j+1} - [H_{j+1} + u_0^j h^{j+1} (\partial g/\partial x)^j g^{\alpha+1} \hat{v}(1 + C_j(\alpha+1))] \in (g^{\alpha+2}h).$$

for $\hat{B}_{j+1}$. We note that $C_j = ((\alpha+1)^j - 1)/\alpha$. We have

$$x \circ \varphi \equiv x \circ \exp(ugh\partial/\partial x) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_j u_0^{j-1} h^{j-1} (\partial g/\partial x)^{j-1}}{j!} \right) hg^{\alpha+1}\hat{v}$$

modulo $(g^{\alpha+2}h)$. We simplify to obtain

$$x \circ \varphi - \left[x \circ \exp(ugh\partial/\partial x) + \frac{(L^{\alpha+1} - L)hg^{\alpha+1}\hat{v}}{\alpha u_0 h \partial g/\partial x} \right] \in (g^{\alpha+2}h).$$

Since u is defined in a neighborhood of $(D \cap \gamma) \setminus \pi^{-1}(\pi(E_{\alpha-1}^{\gamma}))$ and $ugh\partial/\partial x$ vanishes on γ then $x \circ \exp(ugh\partial/\partial x)$ is defined in a neighborhood of $(D \cap \gamma) \setminus \pi^{-1}(\pi(E_{\alpha-1}^{\gamma}))$. We have the inclusion

$$[\partial(x \circ \varphi)/\partial x = 1] \cap D \cap \gamma = [L = 1] \cap D \cap \gamma \subset [u_0 h \partial g/\partial x = 0]$$

since otherwise $[\partial(x \circ \varphi)/\partial x = 1] \cap D \cap \gamma$ is not connected. As a consequence we obtain that $(L-1)/(u_0 h \partial g/\partial x)$ is never vanishing in $D \cap \gamma$. The function

$$K \overset{def}{=} \frac{x \circ \varphi - x \circ \exp(ugh\partial/\partial x)}{1 + L + \ldots + L^{\alpha-1}} \frac{\alpha}{L} \frac{u_0 h \partial g/\partial x}{L - 1}$$

is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $(D \cap \gamma) \setminus (\pi^{-1}(\pi(E_{\alpha-1}^{\gamma})) \cup E_{\alpha}^{\gamma})$. The polydisk D is a division neighborhood for g. By lemmas 4.2 and 4.1 the series $K' = K/g^{\alpha+1}$ is a holomorphic function in a neighborhood of $(D \cap \gamma) \setminus (\pi^{-1}(\pi(E_{\alpha-1}^{\gamma})) \cup E_{\alpha}^{\gamma})$.

Since $(K'/h)h$ converges by restriction to γ in $(D \cap \gamma) \setminus \pi^{-1}(\pi(E_{\alpha}^{\gamma}))$ then so does K'/h by lemmas 4.4 and 4.2. The series u_{α}^{γ} is the Weierstrass remainder of the division $[K'/h]/g$. Therefore u_{α}^{γ} is holomorphic in $\pi^{-1}(D_{2,\ldots,n+1} \setminus \pi(E_{\alpha}^{\gamma}))$.

Since $x \circ \varphi - x \circ \exp((\sum_{j=0}^{\alpha-1} u_j^{\gamma} g^{\alpha-1})gh\partial/\partial x) \in (g^{\alpha+1})$ we can apply lemmas 4.2 and 4.1 to prove the existence of a holomorphic function M_{α} defined in a neighborhood of $(D \cap \gamma) \setminus \pi^{-1}(\pi(E_{\alpha-1}^{\gamma}))$ and such that

$$x \circ \varphi - x \circ \exp\left((\sum_{j=0}^{\alpha-1} u_j^{\gamma} g^{\alpha-1})gh\partial/\partial x \right) = g^{\alpha+1} M_{\alpha}.$$

The previous equality running on $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ imply that

$$\varphi = \exp\left((\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j^{\gamma} g^j) gh \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)$$

in the neighborhood of every point in $\gamma \cap [D \setminus \pi^{-1}(\overline{\cup_{j \geq 0} \pi(E_j^{\gamma})})]$. $\square$

Remark 4.2. *There is not always uniform formal transversality. The logarithm of* $\varphi = (x + y - x^2, y)$ *is not u.t.f. along* $\gamma \equiv (y = x^2)$. *We claim that* φ_P *can not be embedded in a formal flow if* $P \in \cup_{j \geq 0} E_j^{\gamma}$. *Otherwise* φ_P *is formally conjugated to* $j^1\varphi_P$ *(prop. 4.1) and then periodic; that is not possible since* φ_P *is non-linear and polynomial. We obtain that* $\log \varphi$ *is not u.t.f. along* γ *since* $0 \in \overline{\cup_{j \geq 0} E_j^{\gamma}}$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let* $\varphi = \exp(\hat{u} f \partial/\partial x) \in \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ *and let* γ *be a non-unipotent irreducible component of* $Fix\varphi$. *The coefficients of* u_j^γ *in* $\mathbb{C}\{y_2, \ldots y_{n+1}\}$ *are meromorphic in* $D_{2,\ldots,n+1}$ *for all* $j \geq 0$.

Proof. We denote $L = e^{u_0^\gamma h \partial g/\partial x}$; we know that $L - \partial(x \circ \varphi)/\partial x \in (g)$. Let P be a point of $(D \cap \gamma) \setminus (L = 1)$. Since $(L = 1) \cap \gamma = (\partial f/\partial x = 0) \cap \gamma$ then $f = 0$ is transversal to $\partial/\partial x$ in P. In particular γ is smooth at P and it is the only irreducible component of $Fix\varphi$ passing through P. We can find new coordinates $(z, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ in the neighborhood of P such that $\partial/\partial x$ and $g = 0$ become $\partial/\partial z$ and $z = 0$ respectively. In these coordinates φ is of the form

$$\varphi(z, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (a_1^1(x_1, \ldots, x_n)z + a_2^1(x_1, \ldots, x_n)z^2 + \ldots, x_1, \ldots, x_n).$$

Consider a small enough open neighborhood $W(P)$ of P in $D \cap \gamma$, it is parameterized by $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. We ask $a_1^1 - 1$ for never vanishing in $W(P)$; this is possible since $L(P) \neq 1$. We claim that there exists σ such that $\sigma^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ \sigma = (a_1^1 z, z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ of the form

$$\sigma = \left(z + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \sigma_j(x_1, \ldots x_n) z^j, x_1, \ldots, x_n \right),$$

where σ_j is holomorphic in $W(P) \setminus E_{j-1}^\gamma$ and meromorphic in $W(P)$ for all $j \geq 2$. We are going to construct a sequence of diffeomorphisms (φ_k) such that $\varphi_1 = \varphi$ and φ_k is of the form

$$\varphi_k = \left(a_1^1(x_1, \ldots, x_n)z + \sum_{j=k+1}^{\infty} a_j^k(x_1, \ldots, x_n) z^j, x_1, \ldots, x_n \right)$$

where a_j^k is holomorphic in $W(P) \setminus E_{k-1}^\gamma$ and meromorphic in $W(P)$ for all $j \geq 2$. There exists $\tau_k = (z + b_{k+1}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) z^{k+1}, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ such that

$$z \circ \tau_k^{(-1)} \circ \varphi_k \circ \tau_k - a_1^1(x_1, \ldots, x_n) z \in (z^{k+2}).$$

Moreover τ_k is unique and $((a_1^1)^{k+1} - a_1^1) b_{k+1} = a_{k+1}^k$. By hypothesis b_{k+1} is holomorphic in $W(P) \setminus E_k^\gamma$ and meromorphic in $W(P)$. We define the diffeomorphism $\varphi_{k+1} = \tau_k^{(-1)} \circ \varphi_k \circ \tau_k$. Consider $\sigma = \lim_{j \to \infty} \tau_1 \circ \ldots \circ \tau_j$ where the limit is considered in the Krull topology. Clearly σ_j is holomorphic in $W(P) \setminus E_{j-1}^\gamma$ and meromorphic in $W(P)$ for all $j \geq 2$. Then we define $Y_P = (u_0^\gamma h (\partial g/\partial x))(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) z \partial/\partial z$. We have $\varphi = \exp(\sigma_* Y_P)$ since $(a_1^1 z, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \exp(Y_P)$. We obtain

$$\sigma_* Y_P = \left(\left[\left(u_0^\gamma h \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} \right) (0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \left(1 + \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} j \sigma_j z^{j-1} \right) z \right] \circ \sigma^{(-1)} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

We can change coordinates to obtain

$$\sigma_* Y_P = \left(u_0^\gamma + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_j^P(x_1, \ldots, x_n) g^j \right) g h \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

where $v_j^P \in \vartheta(W(P) \setminus E_j^\gamma)$ and is meromorphic in $W(P)$ for all $j \geq 1$.

Fix $Q \in W(P)$ such that $\pi(Q) \notin \pi(\overline{\cup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} E_j^\gamma} \cup (L = 1))$. There exists an open neighborhood W' of Q in $W(P)$ such that $\pi(\overline{\cup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} E_j^\gamma} \cup (L = 1))$ and $\pi(W')$ are disjoint. This implies that the series $u_0^\gamma + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_j^\gamma g^j$ and $u_0^\gamma + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_j^P g^j$ belong to

$\lim_{\leftarrow} G_{W'}/I(W')^r$. By proposition 4.2 applied to the fibers of $dx_1 = \ldots = dx_n = 0$ we obtain

$$u_0^\gamma + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_j^\gamma g^j = u_0^\gamma + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} v_j^P g^j. \tag{2}$$

We deduce that $u_1^\gamma - v_1^P = 0$ contains W'. Thus we can extend the meromorphic function $(u_1^\gamma)_{|\gamma}$ to $W(P)$. By considering every point $P \notin \gamma \cap (\partial f/\partial x = 0)$ we get that $(u_1^\gamma)_{|\gamma}$ is meromorphic in

$$(D \cap \gamma) \setminus (E_1^\gamma \cap (\partial f/\partial x = 0)) = D \cap \gamma.$$

We can apply the Weierstrass division theorem to obtain that u_1^γ is meromorphic in $\pi^{-1}(D_{2,\ldots,n+1})$. By using the equation 2 and an induction process we obtain that $(u_j^\gamma)_{|\gamma}$ is meromorphic in

$$(D \cap \gamma) \setminus (E_j^\gamma \cap (\partial f/\partial x = 0)) = D \cap \gamma$$

for $j \geq 1$. Thus u_j^γ is meromorphic in $\pi^{-1}(D_{2,\ldots,n+1})$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. □

Proposition 4.6. *Let* $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. *Then* $\log \varphi$ *is t.f. along* $Fix\varphi$. *Let* γ *be an irreducible component of* $Fix\varphi$. *If* γ *is unipotent then* $\log \varphi$ *is u.t.f. along* γ, *otherwise it is u.s.m. along* γ.

Proof. The results on γ are a consequence of propositions 4.4 and 4.5 and lemma 4.7. Then $\log \varphi$ is t.f. along $Fix\varphi$ by lemma 4.5. □

We claim that the obstruction for $\log \varphi$ to be u.t.f. along a non-unipotent component γ is the existence of germs φ_P for $P \in \gamma$ which can not be embedded in a formal flow.

Proposition 4.7. *Let* $\varphi = \exp(\hat{u} f \partial/\partial x) \in \text{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. *Consider a non-unipotent irreducible component* γ *of* $Fix\varphi$. *Then* $\log \varphi$ *is u.t.f. along* γ *if and only if there exists a neighborhood of the origin* U *such that* φ_P *is embedded in a formal flow for all* $P \in \gamma \cap U$.

Proof. The implication $\Rightarrow$ is trivial. We denote $L = e^{u_0^\gamma h \partial g/\partial x}$. We define the set $F = \gamma \cap [(dL \wedge dg = 0) \cup (L = 1)]$. Since the function L is non-constant in γ then F is a proper analytic subset of γ. We can suppose that the origin belongs to every irreducible component of $F \cap D$ by shrinking D. Moreover we can suppose that φ_Y is embedded in a formal flow for all $Y \in \gamma \cap D$. Let P be a point in $(D \cap \gamma) \setminus F$. There exists a system of coordinates $(z, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ in a neighborhood of P (see proof of proposition 4.5) such that $g = 0$ and $\partial/\partial x$ become $z = 0$ and $\partial/\partial z$ respectively. Consider a neighborhood $W(P)$ of P in $D \cap \gamma$ such that $W(P) \cap F = \emptyset$.

Consider the notations in the proof of proposition 4.5. Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$; suppose a_j^k and b_q are holomorphic in $W(P)$ for all $k \leq r$, $j \geq k+1$ and $1 < q \leq r$. We claim that b_{r+1} is holomorphic in $W(P)$ and then $a_j^{r+1} \in \vartheta(W(P))$ for all $j \geq r+2$. We have $[a_1^1((a_1^1)^r - 1)]b_{r+1} = a_{r+1}^r$ by the proof of proposition 4.5. Consider any point $Q \in W(P)$ such that $(a_1^1(Q))^r = L(Q)^r = 1$. The diffeomorphism φ_Q is linearizable by proposition 4.1. There exists an element of $h \in \text{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ such that $h^{(-1)} \circ \varphi_Q \circ h = a_1^1(Q) z$ and $j^r h = j^r((\tau_1 \circ \ldots \circ \tau_{r-1})_Q)$ by proposition 4.3. Now

$(\tau_1 \circ \ldots \circ \tau_{r-1})_Q^{(-1)} \circ h = z + C_{r+1}(Q) z^{r+1} + O(z^{r+2})$ for some $C_{r+1}(Q) \in \mathbb{C}$. By construction we obtain

$$[a_1^1(Q)((a_1^1(Q))^r - 1)] C_{r+1}(Q) = a_{r+1}^r(Q).$$

Therefore the set $a_{r+1}^r = 0$ contains the set $(a_1^1)^r = 1$. We have

$$\emptyset = F \cap W(P) \supset (da_1^1 \wedge dz = 0) \cap W(P).$$

Since a_1^1 does not depend on z then da_1^1 never vanishes in $W(P)$. Therefore any hypersurface $a_1^1 = cte$ is locally irreducible in $W(P)$. Since $a_{r+1}^r \in I(a_1^1 - \mu)$ for every r-root of the unit μ then

$$b_{r+1} = \frac{a_{r+1}^r}{a_1^1((a_1^1)^r - 1)} = \frac{a_{r+1}^r}{a_1^1 \prod_{\lambda^r = 1}(a_1^1 - \lambda)}$$

is holomorphic in $W(P)$. By proceeding as in the proof of proposition 4.5 we obtain that u_j^γ is holomorphic in $\pi^{-1}(D_{2,\ldots,n+1} \setminus [\pi(E_j^\gamma) \cap \pi(F)])$ for all $j \geq 0$. Since all the irreducible components of F adhere to 0 and $0 \notin \pi(E_j^\gamma)$ then the codimension of $\pi(F) \cap \pi(E_j^\gamma) \subset D_{2,\ldots,n+1}$ is greater or equal than 2. By Hartogs' theorem u_j^γ is analytic in $\pi^{-1}(D_{2,\ldots,n+1})$ for all $j \geq 0$. Hence $\hat{u} = \sum_{j=0}^\infty u_j^\gamma g^j$ is u.t.f. along γ. $\square$

5. Formal classification

The goal of this section is providing a complete system of invariants for the formal classification of up-diffeomorphisms. More precisely, we describe the formal moduli modulo analytic change of coordinates.

5.1. **Nature of the residue functions.** The formal invariants attached to the germs φ_P for $P \in Fix\varphi$ are included in the formal invariants of the up-diffeomorphism φ. We describe in this subsection the nature of such invariants.

Let $\tau \in \text{Diff}_u(\mathbb{C}, 0)$, there exists a germ of vector field $X \in \mathcal{X}_N(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ such that X is formally conjugated to $\log \tau$. We define the order of contact $\nu(\tau)$ between τ and Id as the order of the function $\tau(z) - z$; the definition does not depend on the choice of the analytical coordinate z. We consider the *dual form* of X, i.e. the unique form $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathbb{C}, 0)$ such that $\omega(X) = 1$. This form is meromorphic at the origin. We define the residues $Res(\tau)$ and $Res(\log \tau)$ as the residue of ω at 0. This residue does not depend on the choice of X, moreover it is a formal invariant. The couple $(\nu(\tau), Res(\tau))$ provides a complete system of formal invariants in $\text{Diff}_u(\mathbb{C}, 0)$.

Fix $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. Let γ be a unipotent non-fibered irreducible component of $Fix\varphi$. We have $\varphi_P \in \text{Diff}_u(\mathbb{C}, P)$ for all $P \in \gamma$ but $Q \mapsto Res(\varphi_Q)$ is not continuous in γ. An example is provided by $\varphi = \exp(x^2 (x-y)^2 \partial/\partial x)$, we have that $Res(\varphi_{(0,y)}) = 2/y^3$ if $y \neq 0$ whereas $Res(\varphi_{(0,0)}) = 0$. We define

$$S = \{P \in \gamma : \nu(\varphi_P) > \min_{Q \in \gamma} \nu(\varphi_Q)\}.$$

The set S is a proper analytic subset of γ. The function $Res_\gamma(\varphi) : \gamma \setminus S \to \mathbb{C}$ defined by $Res_\gamma(\varphi)(P) = Res(\varphi_P)$ is holomorphic. We will prove that $Res_\gamma(\varphi)$ can be extended meromorphically to the whole γ as in the example. Let γ be a non-unipotent irreducible component of $Fix\varphi$, we define $Res_\gamma(\varphi) : \gamma \to \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$Res_\gamma(\varphi)(Q) = \frac{1}{\ln\left(\frac{\partial(x \circ \varphi)}{\partial x}(Q)\right)}$$

where we choose the determination of log such that $\log 1 = 0$. This definition makes sense since for $Q = (x_0, x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0) \notin \cup_{j=0}^{\infty} E_j^{\gamma}$ we have that the residue of $(\log \varphi)_{|\cap_{j=1}^{n}(x_j = x_j^0)} \in \hat{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbb{C}, x^0)$ is equal to $Res_{\gamma}(\varphi)(Q)$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let* $\varphi = \exp(\hat{u} f \partial/\partial x) \in \text{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ *and let* γ *be a non-fibered irreducible component of* $Fix\varphi$. *Suppose that* γ *is transversal to* $\partial/\partial x$ *in a neighborhood of* 0. *Then* $Res_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ *is a meromorphic function of* γ.

Proof. Up to a change of coordinates $\sigma = (x + h(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_1, \dots, x_n)$ we can suppose that $\gamma \equiv (x = 0)$. Since $\hat{u}$ is convergent by restriction to γ then $\hat{u}(0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. We can express f in the form $\sum_{j=\nu}^{\infty} f_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) x^j$ where $\nu = \min_{P \in \gamma} \nu(\varphi_P)$; in fact we have that $f_\nu(P) \neq 0$ if and only if $\nu(\varphi_P) = \nu$ for $P \in \gamma$. Thus $Res_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ is holomorphic in $\gamma \setminus (f_\nu = 0)$.

We consider the transformation

$$\chi(z, x_1, \dots, x_n) = (z f_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_n), x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Then χ is a change of coordinates outside of $f_\nu = 0$. We define the diffeomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} = \chi^{(-1)} \circ \varphi \circ \chi$; we obtain

$$\tilde{\varphi} = \exp\left((\hat{u} \circ \chi)(f_\nu)^{\nu} \left(z^{\nu} + \sum_{j=\nu+1}^{\infty} f_j (f_\nu)^{j-(\nu+1)} z^j \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right).$$

We define $\hat{\varphi} = \exp((\log \tilde{\varphi})/f_\nu^{\nu})$. Since $(\hat{u} \circ \chi - \hat{u})(0, x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv 0$ then the function $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mapsto \nu(\hat{\varphi}_{(0, x_1, \dots, x_n)})$ is equal to the constant ν. Hence the function $Res_{\gamma}(\hat{\varphi}) : \gamma \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of the origin. Note that f_ν does not depend on z; thus we obtain

$$Res_{\gamma}(\varphi) = Res_{\gamma}(\tilde{\varphi}) = Res_{\gamma}(\hat{\varphi})/f_\nu^{\nu}.$$

Clearly $Res_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ is a meromorphic function of γ. □

Proposition 5.2. *Let* $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ *and let* γ *be a non-fibered irreducible component of* $Fix\varphi$. *Then* $Res_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ *is a meromorphic function of* γ.

Proof. Suppose γ does not contain orbits of $\partial/\partial x$. As a consequence there exists an irreducible Weierstrass polynomial

$$H = x^k + a_{k-1}(x_1, \dots, x_n) x^{k-1} + \ldots + a_0(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

such that $\gamma \equiv (H = 0)$. We denote $\pi(x, x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Let D be the critical locus of the projection $\pi_{|\gamma}$. We denote by DD the critical locus of the projection $\pi_{|D}$. The analytic set DD has codimension at least 2 in γ. By proposition 5.1 the function $Res_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ is meromorphic in $\gamma \setminus D$. Suppose that $Res_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ is meromorphic in $\gamma \setminus DD$. Then there exists a polynomial $R = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} b_j(x_1, \dots, x_n) x^j$ such that $Res_{\gamma}(\varphi) \equiv R_{|\gamma}$ by the Weierstrass division. The coefficients b_j $(0 \leq j \leq k-1)$ are meromorphic in a neighborhood of the origin deprived of $\pi(DD)$. Since the codimension of $\pi(DD)$ is at least 2 in $\mathbb{C}^n$ then b_j is meromorphic in a neighborhood of the origin for all $0 \leq j \leq k-1$. Thus $Res_{\gamma}(\varphi) = R_{|\gamma}$ is meromorphic.

Let $P \in D \setminus DD$. The set D is transversal to $\partial/\partial x$ at P and then smooth. Since $\dim D = n - 1$ then there exist coordinates $(z, z_1, \dots, z_n)$ centered at P such that $\partial/\partial x$ and D become $\partial/\partial z$ and $(z = 0) \cap (z_1 = 0)$ respectively. We consider the ramification

$$T(z, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = (z, z_1^{k!}, z_2, \dots, z_n).$$

The set $T^{-1}(\gamma)$ is the union of k hypersurfaces, all of them are transversal to $\partial/\partial z$. The function $Res_{\gamma}(\varphi) \circ T = Res_{T^{-1}(\gamma)}(T^{*}\varphi)$ is meromorphic in $T^{-1}(\gamma)$ by proposition 5.1. We undo the ramification to obtain that $Res_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ is meromorphic in a neighborhood of P and then in $\gamma \setminus DD$.

If γ contains orbits of $\partial/\partial x$ we can reduce the situation to the previous one by blowing-up fibered sub-manifolds of γ. We are done since the field of meromorphic functions in γ is invariant by blow-up. $\square$

Next we express the function $Res_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ in a convenient way.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ and let γ be a non-fibered irreducible component of $Fix\varphi$. Then there exist $A \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $Res_{\gamma}(\varphi) = (A/B)_{|\gamma}$.*

Proof. There exist $A', B' \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $Res_{\gamma}(\varphi) = (A'/B')_{|\gamma}$ by proposition 5.2. We denote $\pi(x, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ and $Z = \gamma \cap (B' = 0)$. Let $g = 0$ be an irreducible equation of γ. We have $\dim Z \leq n-1$ and then $\dim \pi(Z) \leq n-1$. Consider h in $\mathbb{C}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $h_{|\pi(Z)} \equiv 0$ but $h_{|\gamma} \not\equiv 0$; that is possible since γ is non-fibered. We obtain

$$h \in IZ(g, B') \implies h \in \sqrt{(g, B')} \implies h^m = Jg + KB'$$

for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $J, K \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. The function

$$\frac{A}{B} = \frac{KA'}{KB' + Jg} = \frac{KA'}{h^m}$$

is equal to $Res_{\gamma}(\varphi)$ in γ. $\square$

5.2. **The homological equation.** We can linearize the problem of formal classification of up-diffeomorphisms. It can be reduced to deal with equations of the form

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} = \frac{A}{f}$$

where $A, f \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. This equation is called the *homological equation.* Consider the decomposition $f_1^{l_1} \ldots f_p^{l_p} F_1^{m_1} \ldots F_q^{m_q}$ of f in irreducible factors. We suppose that $f_j = 0$ is non-fibered and $F_k = 0$ is fibered for all $1 \leq j \leq p$ and $1 \leq k \leq q$. Moreover we suppose that $F_k \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ for $1 \leq k \leq q$. Now we define $f_N = \prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j^{l_j}$ and $f_F = \prod_{k=1}^{q} F_k^{m_k}$. We say that a meromorphic germ of function α is *special* with respect to f if it can be expressed in the form

$$\alpha = \frac{\beta}{f_1^{l_1-1} \ldots f_p^{l_p-1} f_F}$$

for some β in $\mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. A homological equation $\partial\alpha/\partial x = A/f$ is *special* if it has a special solution (with respect to f). Most of the times we drop the expression "with respect to f" since it is clear from the context. We say that the homological equation is *free of residues* if the one-dimensional 1-form

$$\left(\frac{A}{f_N}(x, x_1^0, \ldots, x_n^0) \right) dx \in \Omega_1(\cap_{j=1}^{n}(x_j = x_j^0))$$

has residue zero at the neighborhood of every point $P = (x^0, x_1^0, \ldots, x_n^0)$ such that $f_N(P) = 0$ and $f_N(x, x_1^0, \ldots, x_n^0) \not\equiv 0$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $E \equiv [\partial \alpha / \partial x = A/f]$ be a free of residues homological equation. Suppose $f_N(x, 0, \ldots, 0) \not\equiv 0$. Then E is special.*

Proof. We can suppose that f_F is a unit since provided a special solution α' of $\partial \alpha'/\partial x = A/f_N$ the function $\alpha = \alpha'/f_F$ is a special solution of E. Consider a small neighborhood $V' \times W$ of the origin in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ contained in the domain of definition of E. We can suppose that V' is simply connected. Let $V \subset \mathbb{C}$ a simply connected open set such that $0 \notin V \subset V'$. We can suppose that $(V \times W) \cap (f_N = 0) = \emptyset$.

The equation E has a solution $\alpha \in \vartheta(V \times W)$. It can be extended to the set $(V \times W) \setminus (f_N = 0)$ by analytic continuation since the residues vanish. Consider a point $Q \in (f_N = 0)$ such that $\partial/\partial x$ is transversal to $f_N = 0$ at Q. There exist coordinates $(z, z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ centered at Q such that $\partial/\partial x$ and $f_N = 0$ become $\partial/\partial z$ and $z = 0$ respectively. By integrating with respect to z we obtain a special solution α_Q in the neighborhood of Q. Now $\partial(\alpha - \alpha_Q)/\partial x = 0$ implies that $\alpha - \alpha_Q$ is holomorphic in a neighborhood of Q. Hence α is special in a neighborhood of Q. Since $\partial/\partial x$ is transversal to $f_N = 0$ except at a set whose codimension is greater than 1 then α is a special solution of E. □

Corollary 5.1. *For $n = 1$ (i.e. $A, f \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1\}$) we have that a homological equation $\partial \alpha/\partial x = A/f$ is free of residues if and only if it is special.*

In general the vanishing of the residues does not imply the existence of a special solution. An example is given by $\partial \alpha/\partial x = 1/(z - xy)^2$. On the one hand it is free of residues since $\alpha = 1/((z - xy)y)$ is a solution. On the other hand there is no a special solution $\beta/(z - xy)$ since otherwise we obtain $1 = (\partial \beta/\partial x)(z - xy) + \beta y \in (y, z)$.

We want to obtain a solution as close as possible to be special for a free of residues equation $\partial \alpha/\partial x = A/f$. Let $\prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j^{l_j}$ be the decomposition in irreducible factors of f_N. We consider the analytic set $S(f)$ obtained as the union of the fibered varieties contained in $\prod_{l_j > 1} f_j = 0$. The codimension of $S(f)$ is at least 2. The set $S(f)$ is called *evil set*.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $E \equiv [\partial \alpha/\partial x = A/f]$ be a free of residues homological equation. Let $H \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \setminus \{0\}$ vanishing in the evil set of f. Then there exists $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ depending only on f such that $\partial \alpha/\partial x = (AH^k)/f$ is special.*

5.2.1. *proof of proposition 5.3.* Let $\prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j^{l_j}$ be the decomposition in irreducible factors of f_N. We define the following sheafs:

- $\vartheta_R(f)$ of functions α such that $\alpha f/(f_1 \ldots f_p)$ is holomorphic.
- $\vartheta_D(f)$ is the sub-sheaf of $\vartheta_R(f)$ of first integrals of $\partial/\partial x$.
- $\vartheta_Q(f)$ is the quotient sheaf $\vartheta_R(f)/\vartheta_D(f)$.

Note that the sheafs $\vartheta_R(f)$, $\vartheta_D(f)$ and $\vartheta_Q(f)$ does not change if we replace f with $f_F \prod_{l_j > 1} f_j^{l_j}$.

The vanishing of the residues of E implies that f_j divides A if $l_j = 1$. By replacing E with $\partial \alpha/\partial x = (A/\prod_{l_j = 1} f_j)/\prod_{l_j > 1} f_j^{l_j}$ we can suppose that $l_j > 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq p$.

Consider a small polydisk $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ such that $A \in \vartheta(\Delta)$. Now for every $Y \in \Delta \setminus S(f)$ there exists a solution $\alpha_Y \in \vartheta_R(f)$ of E defined in a neighborhood U_Y of Y by lemma 5.2. For another $Y' \in \Delta \setminus S(f)$ we have $\partial(\alpha_Y - \alpha_{Y'})/\partial x = 0$ and then $\alpha_Y - \alpha_{Y'} \in \vartheta_D(f)(U_Y \cap U_{Y'})$. Therefore E defines a unique section in $H^0(\Delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_Q(f))$. Conversely, let $B \in H^0(\Delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_Q(f))$; we have that

$f\partial B/\partial x$ is holomorphic in $\Delta \setminus S(f)$. Since $\mathrm{cod} S(f) \geq 2$ then $f\partial B/\partial x$ can be extended to Δ. Thus $\partial\alpha/\partial x = (f\partial B/\partial x)/f$ is a free of residues homological equation defined in Δ. We proved that

Lemma 5.3. *Fix $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. The free of residues homological equations defined in Δ of the form $\partial\alpha/\partial x = A/f$ are in a bijective correspondence with $H^0(\Delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_Q(f))$.*

The exact sequence $0 \to \vartheta_D(f) \xrightarrow{i} \vartheta_R(f) \xrightarrow{p} \vartheta_Q(f) \to 0$ provides the long exact sequence

$$0 \to H^0(\Delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_D(f)) \xrightarrow{i^0} H^0(\Delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_R(f)) \xrightarrow{p^0}$$

$$\xrightarrow{p^0} H^0(\Delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_Q(f)) \xrightarrow{\delta^0} H^1(\Delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_D(f)) \to \ldots.$$

The set of special equations of the form $\partial\alpha/\partial x = A/f$ is the image of p^0. We have

$$0 \to \frac{H^0(\Delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_Q(f))}{p^0(H^0(\Delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_R(f)))} \xrightarrow{\delta^0} H^1(\Delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_D(f)).$$

Since the existence of the evil set is itself an obstruction for the vanishing of $H^1(\Delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_D(f))$ we try to remove it by blow-up. Consider a sequence of blow-ups π_1, π_2, …, π_d centered at fibered varieties. In other words the center of π_1 is fibered, the center of π_2 is a union of orbits of $(\pi_1)^*(\partial/\partial x)$ and so on. We denote $\pi = \pi_1 \circ \ldots \circ \pi_d$.

After a finite number of blow-ups we can obtain π such that the strict transform $\tilde{f}_j$ of $f_j = 0$ does not contain orbits of $\pi^*(\partial/\partial x)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq p$. Let $\prod_{j=1}^{q} F_j^{m_j}$ be the irreducible decomposition of f_F. The divisor $[f \circ \pi]$ is of the form

$$[f \circ \pi] = \sum_{j=1}^{p} l_j[\tilde{f}_j] + \sum_{j=1}^{q} m_j[\tilde{F}_j] + \sum_{j=1}^{s} c_j[H_j]$$

where $\tilde{F}_j$ is the strict transform of $F_j = 0$. We have that $\pi^{-1}(S(f)) = \cup_{j=1}^{s} H_j$ where H_j is a fibered hypersurface and $c_j \in \mathbb{N}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq s$. We define $k = \max_{1 \leq j \leq s} c_j$. We obtain

$$\left[(H^k f_F) \circ \pi\right] = k[\tilde{H}] + \sum_{j=1}^{q} m_j[\tilde{F}_j] + \sum_{j=1}^{s} t_j[H_j]$$

where $\tilde{H}$ is the strict transform of $H = 0$ and $t_j \geq k \geq c_j$ for all $1 \leq j \leq s$.

Consider a polydisk Δ; we consider the sheafs $\vartheta_D(f \circ \pi)$, $\vartheta_R(f \circ \pi)$ and $\vartheta_Q(f \circ \pi)$. We have

$$0 \to \frac{H^0(\pi^{-1}(\Delta), \vartheta_Q(f \circ \pi))}{p^0(H^0(\pi^{-1}(\Delta), \vartheta_R(f \circ \pi)))} \xrightarrow{\delta^0} H^1(\pi^{-1}(\Delta), \vartheta_D(f \circ \pi)).$$

The polydisk Δ is of the form $\Delta_0 \times \Delta' \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^n$. Moreover, the choice of π implies $\pi^{-1}(\Delta_0 \times \Delta') = \Delta_0 \times \pi^{-1}(\Delta')$. We obtain

$$H^1(\pi^{-1}(\Delta), \vartheta_D((H^k f_F) \circ \pi)) \sim H^1(\pi^{-1}(\Delta'), \vartheta).$$

We can prove by using the expression of the blow-up in coordinate charts that $H^1(\pi^{-1}(\Delta'), \vartheta) = H^1(\Delta', \vartheta)$. This implies

$$H^1(\pi^{-1}(\Delta), \vartheta_D((H^k f_F) \circ \pi)) = 0.$$

Since

$$\vartheta_D(f \circ \pi) = \vartheta_D\left(\sum_{j=1}^{q} m_j[\tilde{F}_j] + \sum_{j=1}^{s} c_j[H_j]\right) \subset \vartheta_D\left((H^k f_F) \circ \pi\right)$$

then for any homological equation $\partial\alpha/\partial x = A/f$ such that $A \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$ we can find a meromorphic solution β' in $\mathcal{O}(\pi^{-1}(\Delta))$ such that

$$[\beta']_\infty \leq k[\tilde{H}] + \sum_{j=1}^{p}(l_j - 1)[\tilde{f}_j] + \sum_{j=1}^{q} m_j[\tilde{F}_j] + \sum_{j=1}^{s} t_j[H_j].$$

By blowing-down we obtain a solution $\beta/(H^k f_F \prod_{1 \leq j \leq p} f_j^{l_j - 1})$ of the equation $\partial\alpha/\partial x = A/f$ for some $\beta \in \mathcal{O}(\Delta)$.

5.2.2. *Relation between free of residues and special.* Fix $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Let $Fr(f)$ be the set of free of residues homological equations of the form $\partial\alpha/\partial x = A/f$ for some $A \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. We define $Sp(f)$ as the subset of $Fr(f)$ of special equations. We are interested on describing the structure of the space $Fr(f)/Sp(f)$. We denote $H^0(\Delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_Q(f))$ by $Fr(f, \Delta)$ and $p^0(H^0(\Delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_R(f)))$ by $Sp(f, \Delta)$. The next proposition is straightforward.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $0 \neq f \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. We have*

$$\frac{Fr(f)}{Sp(f)} = \lim_{\rightarrow} \frac{H^0(\Delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_Q(f))}{p^0(H^0(\Delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_R(f)))}$$

We have $Fr(f)/Sp(f) = 0$ for small evil sets.

Proposition 5.5. *Suppose $\mathrm{cod}S(f) \geq 3$. Then a free of residues $\partial\alpha/\partial x = A/f$ is special.*

Proof. It is enough to show that $H^1(\Delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_D(f)) = 0$ for all polydisk Δ small enough. We have $\vartheta_D(f) \sim \vartheta_D(f_N) = \vartheta$ where ϑ is the sheaf of holomorphic functions in $\mathbb{C}^n$. The sheaf ϑ is coherent and then the first homology group does not change by removing analytic sets of codimension at least 3 [12]. We obtain $H^1(\Delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_D(f)) = 0$. □

Denote by $e(\Delta)$ the canonical mapping from $Fr(f, \Delta)/Sp(f, \Delta)$ to $Fr(f)/Sp(f)$. The next proposition makes clear that $Fr(f)/Sp(f)$ behaves like a space of germs.

Proposition 5.6. *There exists a fundamental system $(\Delta_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of open neighborhoods of the origin such that $e(\Delta_j)$ is injective for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular we have*

$$\frac{Fr(f)}{Sp(f)} = \cup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{Fr(f, \Delta_j)}{Sp(f, \Delta_j)}$$

Proof. We can suppose that f_F is a unit without lack of generality. Therefore we have $\vartheta_D(f) = \vartheta$ where ϑ is the sheaf of holomorphic functions in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Consider the subset $S'(f)$ of $S(f)$ of points at which $S(f) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is smooth and of codimension 2. We define $\Delta_j = V_j \times V_j^n$ such that

- $(V_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of open neighborhoods of $0 \in \mathbb{C}$.
- $(V_j^n)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of open neighborhoods of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.
- All the connected components of $S'(f)$ in V_j^n adhere 0 for $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Fix $j \in \mathbb{N}$. We have to prove that the properties $E \in Fr(f, \Delta_j)$ and $e(\Delta_j)(E) = 0$ imply $E \in Sp(f, \Delta_j)$. Consider the element $\delta^0(E)$ of $H^1(V_j^n \setminus S(f), \vartheta)$. For every open set $U \subset V_j^n \setminus S(f)$ we define $\delta^0(E, U)$ as the image of $\delta^0(E)$ by the canonical mapping

$$H^1(V_j^n \setminus S(f), \vartheta) \to H^1(U, \vartheta).$$

We define $S''(f)$ as the subset of $S'(f)$ whose elements $P \in S''(f)$ satisfy that there exists an open neighborhood $U_P \subset V_j^n$ of P such that $\delta^0(E, U_P \setminus S(f)) = 0$. This property is equivalent to the existence of a neighborhood of $V_j \times \{P\}$ where E has a special solution.

By definition $S''(f)$ is open in $S'(f) \cap V_j^n$. We claim that $S''(f)$ is closed in $S'(f) \cap V_j^n$. Let $Q \in \overline{S''(f)} \cap (S'(f) \cap V_j^n)$. There exists a coordinate system $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ centered at Q such that $S(f)$ is given by $y_1 = y_2 = 0$ in a neighborhood of Q. We denote $K_\delta = \cap_{k=1}^n (|y_k| < \delta)$; we fix $\delta > 0$ such that K_δ is contained in V_j^n. Denote $K_\delta^j = K_\delta \setminus (y_j = 0)$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$; then $K_\delta^1 \cup K_\delta^2$ is a Leray covering of $K_\delta \setminus S(f)$ for ϑ. There exists a special solution $h_k \in \vartheta_R(f)(V_j \times K_\delta^k)$ of E for all $k \in \{1, 2\}$; moreover $\delta^0(E, K_\delta \setminus S(f))$ is given by the function $h_1 - h_2 \in \vartheta(K_\delta^1 \cap K_\delta^2)$. As a consequence $h_1 - h_2$ can be expressed in the form

$$h_1 - h_2 = \sum_{(k,l) \in \mathbb{Z}^2} a_{k,l}(y_3, \ldots, y_n) y_1^k y_2^l$$

where $a_{k,l}$ is holomorphic in $\cap_{r=3}^n (|y_r| < \delta)$ for all $(k, l) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Since $Q \in \overline{S''(f)}$ then $a_{k,l}$ vanishes in an open set of $\cap_{r=3}^n (|y_r| < \delta)$ and then in the whole $\cap_{r=3}^n (|y_r| < \delta)$ for all $(k, l) \in (\mathbb{Z}_{<0})^2$. The function

$$h_1 - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}, l \geq 0} a_{k,l}(y_3, \ldots, y_n) y_1^k y_2^l = h_2 + \sum_{k \geq 0, l < 0} a_{k,l}(y_3, \ldots, y_n) y_1^k y_2^l$$

is a special solution of E defined in $V_j \times (K_\delta \setminus S(f))$ and then in $V_j \times K_\delta$ since $\mathrm{cod} S(f) \geq 2$. Therefore $S''(f)$ is closed in $S'(f) \cap V_j^n$.

Every connected component of $S'(f) \cap V_j^n$ adheres to 0 and then it intersects $S''(f)$ since $e(\Delta_j)(E) = 0$. We obtain $S''(f) = S'(f) \cap V_j^n$. Indeed $\delta^0(E)$ belongs to $H^1(V_j^n \setminus (S(f) \setminus S'(f)), \vartheta)$ by the previous discussion. The set $S(f) \setminus S'(f)$ has codimension greater than 2 and then $\delta^0(E) = 0$ by Scheja's theorem. Thus E belongs to $Sp(f, \Delta_j)$. □

In the low dimensional cases we can be even more explicit.

Proposition 5.7. *Let $0 \neq f \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Suppose $n \leq 2$. Then there exists a fundamental system (Δ_j) of open neighborhoods of the origin such that $e(\Delta_j)$ is an isomorphism. Moreover $Fr(f)/Sp(f)$ is a finite dimensional complex vector space.*

Proof. For $n \leq 1$ we have $S(f) = \emptyset$. Thus $H^1(\Delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_D(f)) = 0$ for every domain Δ small enough. That implies $Fr(f)/Sp(f) = 0$.

Let $n = 2$. We can suppose that f_F is a unit without lack of generality. Moreover we can also suppose that $S(f) \neq \emptyset$ since otherwise we proceed as for $n \leq 1$. Thus we have $S(f) = \{(0, 0)\}$ since $codS(f) \geq 2$. Consider a sequence $\Delta_j = B(0, 1/j)^3$. We define $K_j^l = B(0, 1/j)^2 \setminus (x_l = 0)$ for $l \in \{1, 2\}$; the set $B(0, 1/j)^2 \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ admits a Leray covering $K_j^1 \cup K_j^2$ for ϑ.

By proposition 5.3 there exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every $E \in Fr(f, \Delta_j)$ has a solution $\alpha_{E,l}/x_l^k$ where $\alpha_{E,l}$ is special in $B(0,1/j)^3$ for $l \in \{1,2\}$. Now $\delta^0(E)$ is given by the function $\alpha_{E,1}/x_1^k - \alpha_{E,2}/x_2^k \in \vartheta(K_j^1 \cap K_j^2)$. By construction $\alpha_{E,1}/x_1^k - \alpha_{E,2}/x_2^k$ is of the form $h/(x_1^k x_2^k)$ where h is holomorphic in $B(0,1/j)^2$. Since $x_1^a x_2^b$ is 0 in $H^1(B(0,1/j)^2 \setminus \{0\}, \vartheta)$ if $(a,b) \notin (\mathbb{Z}_{<0})^2$ then the dimension of $Fr(f,\Delta_j)/Sp(f,\Delta_j)$ as a complex vector space is less or equal than k^2.

The canonical mapping

$$\frac{Fr(f,\Delta_j)}{Sp(f,\Delta_j)} \to \frac{Fr(f,\Delta_{j+1})}{Sp(f,\Delta_{j+1})}$$

is injective by proposition 5.6 for $j >> 0$. Thus $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Fr(f,\Delta_j)/Sp(f,\Delta_j)$ is a non-decreasing sequence from some moment on. Since it is bounded by above then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} Fr(f,\Delta_j)/Sp(f,\Delta_j)$ is constant for all $j \geq j_0$ and some $j_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $e(\Delta_j)$ is an isomorphism for all $j \geq j_0$. Clearly $Fr(f)/Sp(f)$ is finite dimensional. $\square$

5.3. **The residue functions are formal invariants.** The invariance of the residues is based on the study of the dual form. Let $\varphi = \exp(\hat{u} f \partial/\partial x) \in \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$. We call *dual form* of $\log \varphi$ the dual form $dx/(\hat{u} f)$ of $\log\varphi$ in the relative cohomology of $\partial/\partial x$. Since $\hat{u}$ is t.f. along $f=0$ then there exists $u \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $\hat{u} - u \in (f)$. We define the differential $d_1 h = (\partial h/\partial x)dx$ relative to $\partial/\partial x$. We have

$$\frac{dx}{\hat{u} f} = \frac{dx}{uf} + \frac{u-\hat{u}}{f}\frac{1}{u\hat{u}}dx = \frac{dx}{uf} + d_1 \hat{K}$$

for some $\hat{K} \in \mathbb{C}[[x, x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$. Thus the dual form can be decomposed as the sum of a meromorphic 1-form and a formal exact 1-form. Moreover $\alpha = \exp(uf\partial/\partial x)$ and φ have the same residue functions. Let $f_N = \prod_{j=1}^p f_j^{l_j}$; by lemma 5.1 there exist series $P_j \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and $Q_j \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that we have $Res_{f_j=0}(\varphi) = (P_j/Q_j)_{|f_j=0}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq p$. We define

$$\omega = \frac{dx}{uf} - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq p} \frac{P_j}{Q_j}\frac{\partial f_j/\partial x}{f_j}dx.$$

The form ω has vanishing residues in $f_N = 0$. We obtain $\omega = d_1(A/B)$ for some $A, B \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ by proposition 5.3. This implies

Lemma 5.4. *Let* $\varphi = \exp(\hat{u} f \partial/\partial x) \in \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$. *We have*

$$\frac{dx}{\hat{u} f} = d_1\left(\frac{C}{D}\right) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq p} \frac{P_j}{Q_j}\frac{\partial f_j/\partial x}{f_j}dx.$$

for some $C \in \mathbb{C}[[x, x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ *and* $D \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$.

Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$. Suppose that there exists $\hat{\sigma} \in \widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$ such that $\varphi_2 \circ \hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma} \circ \varphi_1$. Denote $f = x \circ \varphi_1 - x$. Consider the irreducible decomposition $f_N f_F = \prod_{j=1}^p f_j^{l_j} \prod_{j=1}^q F_j^{m_j}$ of f. We have

- $\hat{\sigma}$ preserves the fibration $dx_1 = \ldots = dx_n = 0$.
- $f_j \circ \hat{\sigma}^{(-1)} = 0$ is a non-fibered sub-variety of $Fix\varphi_2$ for $1 \leq j \leq p$.
- $F_j \circ \hat{\sigma}^{(-1)} = 0$ is a fibered analytic subset of $Fix\varphi_2$ for $1 \leq j \leq q$.

Let $g_j = 0$ be an irreducible analytic equation of $f_j \circ \hat{\sigma}^{(-1)} = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq p$. The dual forms of $\log \varphi_1$ and $\log \varphi_2$ can be expressed as

$$d_1\left(\frac{C_1}{D_1}\right) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq p} \frac{P_j^1}{Q_j^1} \frac{\partial f_j/\partial x}{f_j} dx \quad \text{and} \quad d_1\left(\frac{C_2}{D_2}\right) + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq p} \frac{P_j^2}{Q_j^2} \frac{\partial g_j/\partial x}{g_j} dx$$

respectively.

Proposition 5.8. *The residue functions are formal invariants.*

Proof. We keep the notations preceding the proposition. We define $M_j = Q_j^1 (Q_j^2 \circ \hat{\sigma})$ and $N_j = P_j^1 (Q_j^2 \circ \hat{\sigma}) - Q_j^1 (P_j^2 \circ \hat{\sigma})$ for $1 \leq j \leq p$. Our claim is equivalent to $N_j \in (f_j)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq p$. We denote by Ω_j the dual form of $\log \varphi_j$ for j in $\{1, 2\}$. We have $\hat{\sigma}^* \Omega_2 = \Omega_1$. Note that $\hat{\sigma}^*(h dx) = (h \circ \hat{\sigma})(\partial(x \circ \hat{\sigma})/\partial x) dx$; we are always working in the relative cohomology with respect to $\partial/\partial x$. We have that $\hat{\sigma}^* \Omega_2 - d_1((C_2/D_2) \circ \hat{\sigma})$ is equal to

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq p} \left(\left(\frac{P_j^2}{Q_j^2} \frac{\partial g_j/\partial x}{g_j} \right) \circ \hat{\sigma} \right) d_1(x \circ \hat{\sigma}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq p} \frac{P_j^2}{Q_j^2} \circ \hat{\sigma} \frac{\partial(g_j \circ \hat{\sigma})/\partial x}{g_j \circ \hat{\sigma}} dx.$$

By construction $g_j \circ \hat{\sigma}$ is of the form $\hat{v}_j f_j$ for some formal unit $\hat{v}_j$ and all $1 \leq j \leq p$. We obtain

$$\hat{\sigma}^* \Omega_2 - d_1((C_2/D_2) \circ \hat{\sigma}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq p} \frac{P_j^2}{Q_j^2} \circ \hat{\sigma} \frac{\partial \hat{v}_j/\partial x}{\hat{v}_j} dx + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq p} \frac{P_j^2}{Q_j^2} \circ \hat{\sigma} \frac{\partial f_j/\partial x}{f_j} dx.$$

The form $\sum_{1 \leq j \leq p} ((P_j^2/Q_j^2) \circ \hat{\sigma})((\partial \hat{v}_j/\partial x)/\hat{v}_j) dx$ does not have non-fibered poles and then it can be expressed in the form $d_1(J_1/J_2)$ for some $J_1 \in \mathbb{C}[[x, x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ and $J_2 \in \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$. Since $\hat{\sigma}^* \Omega_2 = \Omega_1$ then there exist $C, D \in \mathbb{C}[[x, x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ such that

$$d_1\left(\frac{C}{D}\right) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq p} \frac{\partial f_j/\partial x}{f_j} \left(\frac{P_j^1}{Q_j^1} - \frac{P_j^2}{Q_j^2} \circ \hat{\sigma} \right) dx.$$

The previous expression is equivalent to

$$D^2 \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x} N_j \prod_{k \neq j} f_k \prod_{k \neq j} M_k = \prod_{k=1}^{p} f_k \prod_{k=1}^{p} M_k \left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial x} D - C \frac{\partial D}{\partial x} \right).$$

Let μ_r the greatest integer such that $f_r^{\mu_r}$ divides D for $1 \leq r \leq p$. For $\mu_r > 0$ the left hand side belongs to $(f_r^{2\mu_r})$ and the right hand side belongs to $(f_r^{\mu_r}) \setminus (f_r^{\mu_r+1})$; this implies that $\mu_r = 0$ for $1 \leq r \leq p$. The right hand side belongs to (f_r), as a consequence

$$D^2 \sum_{j=1}^{p} \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x} N_j \prod_{k \neq j} f_k \prod_{k \neq j} M_k \in (f_r) \implies N_r \in (f_r)$$

for all $1 \leq r \leq p$. □

5.4. **Homological equation and formal conjugation.** Let f be an element of $\mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. We say that $\hat{\sigma} \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ is *special* with respect to $f = 0$ if $x \circ \hat{\sigma} - x \in \sqrt{(f_N)}$.

We say that $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \text{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ are *formally conjugated by a special transformation* if there exists a special $\hat{\sigma} \in \text{Diff}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ (with respect to $x \circ \varphi_1 - x = 0$)

such that $\varphi_2 \circ \hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma} \circ \varphi_1$. In such a case $(x \circ \varphi_1 - x)/(x \circ \varphi_2 - x)$ is a unit. Thus φ_1, φ_2 both belong to

$$\mathcal{D}_f = \{\varphi \in \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) \ : \ (x \circ \varphi - x)/f \text{ is a unit}\}$$

for some $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $f(0) = 0$ and $(\partial f/\partial x)(0) = 0$. We restrict our study to formal special conjugations. Later on we will see that this point of view is complete since general formal conjugations can be reduced to the special setting.

Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}_f$. We define $\hat{u}_j = (\log \varphi_j)(x)/f$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Throughout this section we fix the decomposition $\prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j^{l_j}$ of f_N in irreducible factors. The equation

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{\hat{u}_1 f} - \frac{1}{\hat{u}_2 f}$$

is called the homological equation associated to φ_1 and φ_2. We call it *special* if there exists a *special* solution $\beta/(f_F \prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j^{l_j - 1})$ where β in $\mathbb{C}[[x, x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$. Note that if $1/\hat{u}_1 - 1/\hat{u}_2 \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ then the definition of special of subsection 5.2 implies that the solution is convergent. Both definitions are the same.

Lemma 5.5. *Consider a homological equation $E \equiv (\partial \alpha/\partial x = A/f)$ where A, f belong to $\mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. If there exists a formal special solution then there also exists a convergent special solution.*

Proof. We can suppose that f_F is a unit without lack of generality. Consider a formal special solution $\hat{\beta}/(\prod_{1 \leq j \leq p} f_j^{l_j - 1})$ of E. We have

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\beta}}{\partial x} \prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j - \hat{\beta} \sum_{j=1}^{p} (l_j - 1) \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x} \prod_{k \in \{1, \ldots, p\} \setminus \{j\}} f_k = A.$$

If $l_j = 1$ then f_j divides A. By considering $\partial \alpha/\partial x = (A/\prod_{l_j = 1} f_j)/(\prod_{l_j > 1} f_j^{l_j})$ we can suppose that $l_j > 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq p$. The function A belongs to the ideal generated by $\prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{p} (l_j - 1)(\partial f_j/\partial x) \prod_{k \neq j} f_k$ in $\mathbb{C}[[x, x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$. Thus it also belongs to the ideal in $\mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ sharing the same generators; in particular there exist $C, D_0 \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that

$$A = C \prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j - D_0 \sum_{j=1}^{p} (l_j - 1) \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x} \prod_{k \in \{1, \ldots, p\} \setminus \{j\}} f_k.$$

We define $\hat{\gamma} = \hat{\beta} - D_0$. We obtain

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\gamma}}{\partial x} \prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j - \hat{\gamma} \sum_{j=1}^{p} (l_j - 1) \frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x} \prod_{k \in \{1, \ldots, p\} \setminus \{j\}} f_k = \left(C - \frac{\partial D_0}{\partial x} \right) \prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j.$$

The previous formula implies that $\hat{\gamma} \in (\prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j)$. Hence $(\hat{\gamma}/\prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j)/(\prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j^{l_j - 2})$ is solution of

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} = \frac{C - \partial D_0/\partial x}{\prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j^{l_j - 1}}.$$

By induction on $\max_{1 \leq j \leq p} l_j$ we can prove that there exists $D \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $(\hat{\beta} - D)/\prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j^{l_j - 1}$ is a solution of an equation $\partial \alpha/\partial x = \xi$ for some $\xi \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. We choose a convergent solution $\alpha_0 \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ of the latter equation. Then $D/\prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j^{l_j - 1} + \alpha_0$ is a special convergent solution of E. □

We introduce the main proposition in this subsection:

Proposition 5.9. *Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. Then they are formally conjugated by a special transformation if and only if the associated homological equation is special.*

The proposition implies that the existence of formal special conjugation is equivalent to the solvability of a linear differential equation. The proof of proposition 5.9 is obtained by reducing the setting to the case where $\log \varphi_j$ is convergent for $j \in \{1, 2\}$.

Proposition 5.10. *Let $\varphi_j = \exp(u_j f \partial/\partial x) \in \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ with convergent logarithm for $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Assume that the homological equation associated to φ_1 and φ_2 is special. Then φ_1 and φ_2 are conjugated by a special diffeomorphism.*

Denote by $\overset{sp}{\sim}$ the equivalence relation given by $\varphi_1 \overset{sp}{\sim} \varphi_2$ if φ_1 is conjugated to φ_2 by a special $\sigma \in \mathrm{Diff}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$.

Proof. Let us use the path method (see [11] and [9]). We define

$$X_{1+z} = u_{1+z} f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = \frac{u_1 u_2 f}{z u_1 + (1-z) u_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$

Denote $c = u_2(0)/(u_2(0) - u_1(0))$. We have $X_{1+z_0} \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ for all $z_0 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{c\}$. The choice of X_{1+z} assures that the homological equation

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} = z \left(\frac{dx}{u_1 f} - \frac{dx}{u_2 f} \right).$$

associated to $\exp(X_1)$ and $\exp(X_{1+z})$ is special. It is enough to prove that $X_1 \overset{sp}{\sim} X_2$ for $c \notin [0, 1]$. If $c \in [0, 1]$ we define

$$Y^1_{1+z} = \frac{u_1 u_{1+i} f}{z u_1 + (1-z) u_{1+i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \quad \text{and} \quad Y^2_{1+z} = \frac{u_{1+i} u_2 f}{z u_{1+i} + (1-z) u_2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$

Since $u_{1+i}(0)/(u_{1+i}(0) - u_1(0))$ and $u_1(0)/(u_1(0) - u_{1+i}(0))$ do not belong to $[0, 1]$ then $X_1 \overset{sp}{\sim} X_{1+i} \overset{sp}{\sim} X_2$.

Suppose $c \notin [0, 1]$. We look for $W \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{C}^{n+2}, 0)$ of the form

$$W = h(x, x_1, \ldots, x_n, z) f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

such that $[W, X_{1+z}] = 0$. We ask $h f_F \prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j^{l_j - 1}$ to be holomorphic in a connected domain $V \times V' \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}$ containing $\{0\} \times [0, 1]$. We also require hf to vanish at $\{0\} \times V'$. Supposed that such a W exists then $\exp(W)_{|z=0}$ is a special mapping conjugating X_1 and X_2.

The equation $[W, X_{1+z}] = 0$ is equivalent to

$$u_{1+z} f \frac{\partial (hf)}{\partial x} - hf \frac{\partial (u_{1+z} f)}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial (u_{1+z} f)}{\partial z}.$$

By simplifying we obtain

$$u_{1+z} f \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} - hf \frac{\partial u_{1+z}}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial u_{1+z}}{\partial z} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial (h/u_{1+z})}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{u_1 f} - \frac{1}{u_2 f}.$$

Let α be a special solution of the homological equation associated to φ_1 and φ_2. For $p = 0$ we can suppose $(\alpha f)(0) = 0$ by choosing α of the form α'/f_F where

$\alpha' \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ satisfies $\alpha'(0) = 0$ and $\partial\alpha'/\partial x = 1/u_1 - 1/u_2$. We are done by defining $h = u_{1+z}\alpha$. $\square$

The reciprocal is also true.

Proposition 5.11. *Let* $\varphi_j = \exp(u_j f \partial/\partial x) \in \text{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ *with convergent logarithm for* $j \in \{1, 2\}$. *Suppose* $\varphi_1 \overset{sp}{\sim} \varphi_2$. *Then the associated homological equation is special.*

Proof. We denote by T the union of the non-fibered irreducible components of $f = 0$. For $P \notin T$ there exists a special solution $\psi_{j,P}$ of

$$\frac{\partial\psi_{j,P}}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{u_j f}$$

defined in a neighborhood of P for $j \in \{1, 2\}$. It is a consequence of lemma 5.2. Consider a special diffeomorphism σ conjugating φ_1 and φ_2. We define the diffeomorphism $\sigma_z = (1 - z)Id + z\sigma$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$; we have that σ_z is special for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. For $P \notin T$ and $z \in [0, 1]$ we define

$$\gamma_z(P) = \psi_{2,P} \circ \sigma_z(P) - \psi_{2,P}(P).$$

In the previous expression $\psi_{2,P} \circ \sigma_z(P)$ is the value at $\sigma_z(P)$ of the analytical continuation of $\psi_{2,P}$ along the path $[0, z] : s \to \sigma_s(P)$. Then γ_z is by construction a special solution of the homological equation associated to $\sigma_z^{(-1)} \circ \varphi_2 \circ \sigma_z$ and φ_2 defined in the complementary of T for all $z \in [0, 1]$. There exists a special solution α_P of the homological equation associated to φ_1 and φ_2 and defined in the neighborhood of P for $P \notin S(f)$ by lemma 5.2. Since $\partial(\gamma_1 - \alpha_P)/\partial x = 0$ then γ_1 can be extended to the complementary of $S(f)$. Moreover $\text{cod}S(f) \geq 2$ implies that γ_1 is special in a neighborhood of the origin. $\square$

Next proposition claims that every formal class of conjugation contains at least one convergent normal form. That will allow us to prove proposition 5.9 by reducing the problem to the settings considered in propositions 5.10 and 5.11.

Proposition 5.12. *Let* $\varphi = \exp(\hat{u} f \partial/\partial x) \in \text{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. *There exists a germ of function* $u \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ *such that* φ *and* $\exp(u f \partial/\partial x)$ *are formally conjugated by a special transformation.*

Proof. Since $\hat{u}$ is t.f. along $f = 0$ (prop. 4.6) then there exists $u_k \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $\hat{u} - u_k \in (f^k)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We denote $\varphi_k = \exp(u_k f \partial/\partial x)$. Let γ_k be a solution of the homological equation associated to φ_k and φ_1. Since $1/u_k - 1/u_1$ belongs to the ideal (f) we can choose γ_k in $(x) \cap \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. We have $\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k \in \mathfrak{m}^k$ where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal ideal since $\partial(\gamma_{k+1} - \gamma_k)/\partial x \in (f^{k-1})$. The diffeomorphisms φ_k and φ_1 are conjugated by

$$\sigma_k \overset{def}{=} \exp\left(\gamma_k \frac{u_k u_1}{z u_k + (1 - z) u_1} f \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right)_{|z=0}.$$

Moreover σ_k converges in the Krull topology to some special $\hat{\sigma} \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ conjugating φ and φ_1. $\square$

proof of the implication $\Rightarrow$ *of proposition 5.9.* Define $f = x \circ \varphi_1 - x$. We have $\log \varphi_j = \hat{u}_j f \partial/\partial x$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Consider a unit u_j in $\mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $\hat{u}_j - u_j \in (f)$. Then $\alpha_j = \exp(u_j f \partial/\partial x)$ is formally conjugated to φ_j by a special transformation for $j \in \{1,2\}$ by the proof of proposition 5.12. Thus α_1 and α_2 are formally conjugated by a special transformation $\hat{\sigma} \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1},0)$. Since

$$\left(\frac{1}{\hat{u}_1 f} - \frac{1}{\hat{u}_2 f}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{u_1 f} - \frac{1}{u_2 f}\right) \in \mathbb{C}[[x, x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$$

it is enough to prove that the homological equation associated to α_1 and α_2 is special.

We denote by $\hat{\mathfrak{m}}$ the maximal ideal of $\mathbb{C}[[x, x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$. For $k \geq 2$ there exists $h_k \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $h_k - x \in (\prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j)$ and $(x \circ \hat{\sigma} - h_k)/\prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j \in \hat{\mathfrak{m}}^k$ since $\hat{\sigma}$ is special. We define the special diffeomorphism $\sigma_k = (h_k, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$.

Suppose $f_N(P) \neq 0$. There exists a special solution $\psi_{2,P}$ of $\partial\alpha/\partial x = 1/u_2 f$ defined in the neighborhood of P. We define $\gamma_k(P) = \psi_{2,P} \circ \sigma_k(P) - \psi_{2,P}(P)$ as in proposition 5.11. Then γ_k extends to a special solution defined in a neighborhood of the origin of the homological equation associated to $\sigma_k^{(-1)} \circ \alpha_2 \circ \sigma_k$ and α_2 (see proof of prop. 5.11). We define $\beta_k = \gamma_k f_F \prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j^{l_j - 1}$; we claim that the sequence β_k converges to some $\hat{\beta} \in \mathbb{C}[[x, x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ in the Krull topology. That is a consequence of Taylor's formula since

$$\gamma_k - \gamma_l = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r!} \left(\frac{\partial^r \psi_2}{\partial x^r} \circ \sigma_l\right) (x \circ \sigma_k - x \circ \sigma_l)^r$$

implies that $\beta_k - \beta_l \in \hat{\mathfrak{m}}^{\min(k,l)}$. Since $(\log(\sigma_k^{(-1)} \circ \alpha_2 \circ \sigma_k))(x)$ converges to $(\log \alpha_1)(x)$ in the Krull topology then $\hat{\beta}/(f_F \prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j^{l_j - 1})$ is a special solution of the homological equation associated to α_1 and α_2. □

proof of the implication $\Leftarrow$ of proposition 5.9. We have that $\log \varphi_j$ is of the form $\hat{u}_j f \partial/\partial x$ for $j \in \{1,2\}$. Let $u_j \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $\hat{u}_j - u_j \in (f)$ for $j \in \{1,2\}$. We define $\alpha_j = \exp(u_j f \partial/\partial x)$. The homological equation

$$\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} = \left(\frac{1}{u_1 f} - \frac{1}{\hat{u}_1 f}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\hat{u}_1 f} - \frac{1}{\hat{u}_2 f}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\hat{u}_2 f} - \frac{1}{u_2 f}\right)$$

associated to α_1 and α_2 is the result of adding three special equations; thus it is special. The diffeomorphisms α_1 and α_2 are conjugated by a germ of special diffeomorphism σ (proposition 5.10). By the proof of proposition 5.12 we know that φ_j and α_j are conjugated by a formal special diffeomorphism $\hat{\sigma}_j$ for $j \in \{1,2\}$. Then $\hat{\sigma}_2^{(-1)} \circ \sigma \circ \hat{\sigma}_1$ is a formal special diffeomorphism conjugating φ_1 and φ_2. □

5.5. **Theorem of formal special conjugation.** We describe in this section the nature of the invariants for the formal special conjugation. The next result is a corollary of propositions 5.8 and 5.9.

Theorem 5.1. *The residue functions associated to the non-fibered irreducible components of $f = 0$ and the complex vector space $Fr(f)/Sp(f)$ are a complete system of formal invariants for the special conjugation in $\mathcal{D}_f$.*

Let us clarify the statement. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_f$; we define the subset $\mathcal{D}_f(\varphi)$ of $\mathcal{D}_f$ whose elements τ satisfy that $Res_\gamma(\varphi) \equiv Res_\gamma(\tau)$ for all irreducible component γ of $f_N = 0$. The theorem claims the existence of $Inv_f : \mathcal{D}_f(\varphi) \to Fr(f)/Sp(f)$ such that $Inv_f(\varphi_1) = Inv(\varphi_2)$ if and only if φ_1 and φ_2 are formally conjugated by a special transformation.

Consider $\tau = \exp(\hat{u}_\tau f \partial/\partial x) \in \mathcal{D}_f$. Since $\hat{u}_\tau$ is t.f. along $f = 0$ there exists a unit $u_\tau \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $\hat{u}_\tau - u_\tau \in (f)$. We define a mapping $Inv_f^\varphi : \mathcal{D}_f(\varphi) \to Fr(f)/Sp(f)$ given by

$$Inv_f^\varphi(\tau) = \left[\frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial x} = \frac{1}{f}\left(\frac{1}{u_\tau} - \frac{1}{u_\varphi}\right)\right] + Sp(f).$$

The value $Inv_f^\varphi(\tau)$ is independent of the choices of u_φ and u_τ. The mapping Inv_f^φ is not the only choice for Inv_f since $Inv_f^\varphi \neq Inv_f^\tau$ if $Inv_f^\varphi(\tau) \neq 0$. Thus $Fr(f)/Sp(f)$ is a classifying space for the formal special conjugation but the mapping Inv_f is not canonical.

We say that $Fr(f)$ contains units if there exists $[\partial\alpha/\partial x = A/f]$ in $Fr(f)$ for some unit $A \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Next we prove that there are no redundant invariants in $Fr(f)/Sp(f)$.

Lemma 5.6. *The mapping Inv_f^φ is surjective except if $Fr(f)$ contains units but $Sp(f)$ does not. In such a case $[\partial\alpha/\partial x = A/f] + Sp(f)$ belongs to $Inv_f^\varphi(\mathcal{D}_f(\varphi))$ if and only if $A(0) \neq -1/u_\varphi(0)$. Anyway $Fr(f)/Sp(f)$ is the complex vector space generated by $Inv_f^\varphi(\mathcal{D}_f(\varphi))$.*

Proof. Fix a homological equation $E = [\partial\alpha/\partial x = A/f] \in Fr(f)$.

Suppose that $1/u_\varphi(0) \neq -A(0)$. The formula $1/u = 1/u_\varphi + A$ defines a unit $u \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $Inv_f^\varphi(\exp(uf\partial x)) = E + Sp(f)$. Then we suppose from now on that $1/u_\varphi(0) = -A(0)$. We have that $\lambda E + Sp(f) \in Inv_f^\varphi(\mathcal{D}_f(\varphi))$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$. Note that $\lambda E = [\partial\alpha/\partial x = \lambda A/f]$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

Suppose that both $Fr(f)$ and $S(f)$ contain units. Since there exists an equation $[\partial\alpha/\partial x = B/f] \in Sp(f)$ such that $B(0) \neq 0$ then

$$E + Sp(f) = [\partial\alpha/\partial x = (A+B)/f] + Sp(f) \in Inv_f^\varphi(\mathcal{D}_f(\varphi)).$$

If $Fr(f)$ contains units but $Sp(f)$ does not then there does not exist a special $[\partial\alpha/\partial x = B/f]$ such that $1/u_\varphi(0) + A(0) + B(0) \neq 0$. As a consequence $E + Sp(f)$ does not belong to $Inv_f^\varphi(\mathcal{D}_f(\varphi))$. □

Next results are a direct consequence of the analogous ones on the homological equation

Corollary 5.2. *Let $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Suppose that $n \leq 1$ or $\mathrm{cod}S(f) \geq 3$. Then the residue functions are a complete system of formal invariants for the special conjugation in $\mathcal{D}_f \subset \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$.*

Corollary 5.3. *Let $f \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, x_2\}$. Then the residue functions plus a finite number of linear invariants are a complete system of formal invariants for the special conjugation in $\mathcal{D}_f \subset \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$.*

5.5.1. *The example $f = (x_2 - xx_1)^2 \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$.*

Lemma 5.7. *Let $f = (x_2 - xx_1)^2$. Consider $E = [\partial\alpha/\partial x = A/f]$ in $Fr(f)$. Then $E \in Sp(f)$ if and only if $A \in (x_1, x_2)$.*

Proof. If there exists a special solution $\alpha = \beta/(x_2 - xx_1)$ of E then

$$A = (\partial\beta/\partial x)(x_2 - xx_1) + \beta x_1 \in (x_1, x_2).$$

If $A \in (x_1, x_2)$ we obtain $A = (x_2 - xx_1)C + x_1 D$ for some C, D in $\mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Denote $E' = [\partial\alpha/\partial x = (C - \partial D/\partial x)/(x_2 - xx_1)]$. Since we have

$$\frac{C - \partial D/\partial x}{x_2 - xx_1} = \frac{A}{f} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{D}{x_2 - xx_1}\right).$$

then $E' \in Fr(f)$. We deduce that $C - \partial D/\partial x \in (x_2 - xx_1)$; thus E has a special solution of the form $D/(x_2 - xx_1) + \gamma$ where γ is a holomorphic solution of E'. $\square$

Consider $E = [\partial\alpha/\partial x = A/f] \in Fr(f)$. There exists a solution of E of the form $\beta_j/((x_2 - xx_1)x_j)$ for some $\beta_j \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and all $j \in \{1, 2\}$ by lemma 5.7. The element $\delta^0(E)$ is given by $\beta_1/((x_2 - xx_1)x_1) - \beta_2/((x_2 - xx_1)x_2)$. This function is of the form $\beta(x_1, \ldots, x_n)/(x_1 x_2)$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$.

Consider $E_0 = [\partial\alpha/\partial x = 1/f]$. We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{1}{x_1(x_2 - xx_1)}\right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{x}{x_2(x_2 - xx_1)}\right) = \frac{1}{(x_2 - xx_1)^2}.$$

Then $\delta^0(E_0)$ is given by the function $1/(x_1 x_2)$. This implies

Lemma 5.8. *Let $f = (x_2 - xx_1)^2 \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Then the space $Fr(f)/Sp(f)$ is equal to $\mathbb{C}\{x_3, \ldots, x_n\}E_0$*

Suppose from now on that $n = 2$, this implies $Fr(f)/Sp(f) \sim \mathbb{C}$. Therefore for $\varphi_1 = \exp(\hat{u}_1 f \partial/\partial x)$ and $\varphi_2 = \exp(\hat{u}_2 f \partial/\partial x)$ such that $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}_f(\varphi_1)$ there is a unique $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $1/\hat{u}_1 - 1/\hat{u}_2 - \lambda \in (x_1, x_2)$. Then φ_1 and φ_2 are conjugated by a formal special transformation if and only if $\lambda = 0$; this is equivalent to $\hat{u}_1(0) = \hat{u}_2(0)$. Note that $2\hat{u}_j(0) = \partial^2(x \circ \varphi_j)/\partial {x_2}^2(0)$. We deduce that

$$\left(Res_{x_2 - xx_1 = 0}(\varphi), \frac{\partial^2(x \circ \varphi)}{\partial {x_2}^2}(0, 0, 0)\right)$$

is a complete system of formal special invariants in $\mathcal{D}_f \subset \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^3, 0)$.

We can provide a geometrical interpretation for the non-residual invariant. Consider $\varphi_1 = \exp(\hat{u}_1 f \partial/\partial x)$, $\varphi_2 = \exp(\hat{u}_2 f \partial/\partial x) \in \mathcal{D}_f$ such that their associated homological equation $\partial\alpha/\partial x = A/f$ is free of residues. Let $P = (x^0, x_1^0, x_2^0)$ an element of $(f = 0) \setminus (x_1 = x_2 = 0)$. The one-dimensional germs $\varphi_{1,P}$ and $\varphi_{2,P}$ are formally conjugated by a transformation whose linear part is $((1 - A\hat{u}_1)(P))w$ where w is a coordinate centered at P in $(x_1 = x_1^0) \cap (x_2 = x_2^0)$. No other linear part is possible. Since a special conjugation restricted to $x_1 = x_2 = 0$ is the identity then the existence of a formal special conjugation at the 1-jet along $f = 0$ level implies $(1 - A\hat{u}_1) - 1 \in (x_1, x_2) \Rightarrow A \in (x_1, x_2)$. By lemma 5.7 we have that vanishing of residues plus 1-jet compatibility is equivalent to the existence of a formal special conjugation.

6. Convergent actions

We restricted our study to formal special conjugations. The goal of this section is linking the equivalence relations "being formally conjugated" and "being formally conjugated by a special transformation". The main result is the following:

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathrm{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ be formally conjugated. Then φ_1 and φ_2 are formally conjugated by a transformation of the form $\hat{\sigma} \circ \sigma$ where σ belongs to $\mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ and $\hat{\sigma} \in \widehat{\mathrm{Diff}}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ is special.*

Let us remark that in the theorem $\hat{\sigma}$ is special with respect to $x \circ \varphi_2 - x = 0$.

In general a formal conjugation is not of the form $\hat{\sigma} \circ \sigma$. The action induced by $\hat{\sigma} \circ \sigma$ in the non-fibered components of $x \circ \varphi_1 - x = 0$ is the one induced by σ since $\hat{\sigma}$ is special. Thus such an action is convergent. Now consider $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = (x/(1-x), y)$. We have that φ_1 and φ_2 are conjugated by $\hat{\tau} = (x, \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j! y^j)$. The action of $\hat{\tau}$ on $x = 0$ is not convergent, therefore $\hat{\tau}$ can not be expressed in the form $\hat{\sigma} \circ \sigma$ where $\sigma \in \text{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ and $\hat{\sigma} \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}_p(\mathbb{C}^2, 0)$ is special.

In order to prove theorem 6.1 it is enough to show

Proposition 6.1. *Let* φ_j *be an element of* $\text{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ *with convergent logarithm for* $j \in \{1, 2\}$. *Suppose that* φ_1 *and* φ_2 *are formally conjugated. Then they are analytically conjugated.*

Let us explain why proposition 6.1 implies theorem 6.1. Let φ_1, φ_2 be elements of $\text{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ which are formally conjugated by $\hat{\tau}$. By proposition 5.12 there exists $\alpha_j \in \text{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ such that $\log \alpha_j$ is convergent and α_j is conjugated to φ_j by a special $\hat{H}_j \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}_p(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ for $j \in \{1, 2\}$. We obtain that α_1 and α_2 are formally conjugated and then conjugated by some $\tau \in \text{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. We define

$$\hat{\sigma} = \hat{H}_2 \circ (\tau \circ \hat{H}_1^{(-1)} \circ \tau^{(-1)}) \ \text{ and } \ \sigma = \tau.$$

Clearly $\hat{\sigma} \circ \sigma$ conjugates φ_1 and φ_2. Moreover $\hat{\sigma}$ is special and σ is convergent.

Next proposition is a sort of preparation theorem.

Proposition 6.2. *Let* $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \text{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. *Suppose that* φ_1 *and* φ_2 *are formally conjugated. Then for all* $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ *there exists* ρ_ν *in* $\text{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ *such that the diffeomorphism* $\varphi_{2,\nu} = \rho_\nu^{(-1)} \circ \varphi_2 \circ \rho_\nu$ *satisfies*

- $\varphi_{2,\nu} \in \mathcal{D}_{x \circ \varphi_1 - x} \subset \text{Diff}_{up}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$
- $Res_\gamma(\varphi_1) \equiv Res_\gamma(\varphi_{2,\nu})$ *for all non-fibered component* γ *of* $Fix\varphi_1$.
- $x \circ \varphi_1 - x \circ \varphi_{2,\nu} \in (I(S(x \circ \varphi_1 - x)) + (x))^{\nu+1}$.

Proof. Denote $f = x \circ \varphi_1 - x$. Let $f_N f_F = \prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j^{l_j} \prod_{j=1}^{q} F_j^{m_j}$ be the decomposition of f in irreducible factors. Let $\hat{\rho} \in \widehat{\text{Diff}}\,(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ be the transformation conjugating φ_1 and φ_2. Since $\hat{\rho}(Fix\varphi_1) = Fix\varphi_2$ there exist functions $g_j \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and $G_k \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ such that $(g_j \circ \hat{\rho})/f_j$ and $(G_k \circ \hat{\rho})/F_j$ are formal units for all $1 \leq j \leq p$ and $1 \leq k \leq q$. Consider a function P_j^1/Q_j^1 such that its restriction to $f_j = 0$ is the function $Res_{f_j=0}(\varphi_1)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq p$ (see lemma 5.1). In an analogous way we consider a function P_j^2/Q_j^2 such that its restriction to $g_j = 0$ is the function $Res_{g_j=0}(\varphi_2)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq p$. We obtain the system

$$(X) \left\{ \begin{array}{cl} g_j \circ \hat{\rho} = \hat{v}_j f_j & \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq p \\ G_j \circ \hat{\rho} = \hat{w}_j F_j & \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq q \\ P_j^1(Q_j^2 \circ \hat{\rho}) - Q_j^1(P_j^2 \circ \hat{\rho}) = \hat{r}_j f_j & \text{for all } 1 \leq j \leq p. \end{array} \right.$$

The third set of equations is a consequence of the invariance of the residues. We have that $\hat{v}_j$ and $\hat{w}_k$ are formal units whereas $\hat{r}_j$ is just a power series.

The ideal $I(S(f))$ associated to the evil set has a system $L_1, \ldots, L_d$ of generators composed by elements of $\mathbb{C}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Let us study the equation $\hat{\rho} \circ \varphi_1 = \varphi_2 \circ \hat{\rho}$. The transformation $\hat{\rho}$ is of the form

$$\hat{\rho} = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{2\nu} \hat{a}_j x^j + x^{2\nu+1} \hat{A}, \hat{\rho}_1, \ldots, \hat{\rho}_n \right)$$

where $\hat{a}_0, \ldots, \hat{a}_{2\nu}, \hat{\rho}_1, \ldots, \hat{\rho}_n \in \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ and $\hat{A} \in \mathbb{C}[[x, x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$. We denote by $\hat{\rho}'$ be the transformation obtained by replacing $\hat{A}$ with 0 in the expresion of $\hat{\rho}$. We want to compare the coefficients of x^b $(b \leq \nu)$ of $x \circ \hat{\rho}' \circ \varphi_1$ and $x \circ \varphi_2 \circ \hat{\rho}'$. We have

$$\frac{\partial^b (x \circ \varphi_2 \circ \hat{\rho})}{\partial x^b}(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \frac{\partial^b (x \circ \varphi_2 \circ \hat{\rho}')}{\partial x^b}(0, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{\partial^b (x \circ \hat{\rho} \circ \varphi_1)}{\partial x^b} - \frac{\partial^b (x \circ \hat{\rho}' \circ \varphi_1)}{\partial x^b} \right) (0, x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in I(S(f))^{\nu+1}$$

for all $0 \leq b \leq \nu$. The coefficient of x^b of $x \circ \hat{\rho}' \circ \varphi_1$ can be expressed in the form $C_b(x_1, \ldots, x_n, \hat{a}_0, \ldots, \hat{a}_{2\nu})$ for some holomorphic C_b. Conversely the coefficient of x^b of $x \circ \varphi_2 \circ \hat{\rho}'$ is of the form $D_b(\hat{\rho}_1, \ldots, \hat{\rho}_n, \hat{a}_0, \ldots, \hat{a}_{2\nu})$ for some holomorphic function D_b. We have

$$(Y) \; : \; C_b - D_b = \sum_{k_1 + \ldots k_d = \nu+1} \hat{K}_{k_1, \ldots, k_d} L_1^{k_1} \ldots L_d^{k_d} \text{ for all } 0 \leq b \leq \nu$$

where $\hat{K}_{k_1, \ldots, k_d} \in \mathbb{C}[[x, x_1, \ldots, x_n]]$ for $k_1 + \ldots k_d = \nu + 1$. By Artin's theorem [1] we can find a solution $(a_0, \ldots, a_{2\nu}, A, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n)$ satisfying both the systems (X) and (Y) and such that

$$\{a_0, \ldots, a_{2\nu}, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n\} \subset \mathbb{C}\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}, \; A \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}.$$

Moreover, we can suppose that $j^1 a_k = j^1 \hat{a}_k$ for all $0 \leq k \leq 2\nu$ and $j^1 \rho_k = j^1 \hat{\rho}_k$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n$. We define

$$\rho_\nu = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{2\nu} a_j x^j + x^{2\nu+1} A, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n \right).$$

By construction we have that $\rho_\nu \in \mathrm{Diff}\,(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$ and $\varphi_{2,\nu} \in \mathcal{D}_{x \circ \varphi_1 - x}$. The invariance of the residues by ρ_ν (prop. 5.8) implies that $\varphi_{2,\nu}$ satisfies the second condition in the statement of the proposition. Now since $(\sum_{j=0}^{2\nu} a_j x^j, \rho_1, \ldots, \rho_n)$ is a solution of system (Y) then

$$x \circ \rho_\nu \circ \varphi_1 - x \circ \varphi_2 \circ \rho_\nu \in (x^{\nu+1}) + I(S(f))^{\nu+1}.$$

We deduce that $x \circ \varphi_1 - x \circ \varphi_{2,\nu} \in ((x) + I(S(f)))^{\nu+1}$. □

We intend to prove that the homological equation associated to φ_1 and $\varphi_{2,\nu}$ is special for $\nu >> 0$. We will construct special solutions in the neighborhood of every point outside of a set of codimension greater or equal than 3. Next lemmas are of technical interest.

Lemma 6.1. *Let I, J be ideals of a noetherian ring R. Then there exists $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that we have $J^\nu \cap I \subset J^{\nu-\nu_0} I$ for all $\nu \geq \nu_0$.*

Proof. The equation $J^\nu \cap I = J^{\nu-\nu_0}(J^{\nu_0} \cap I)$ is a consequence of Artin-Rees lemma (see corollary 10.10 in [2]); this implies $J^\nu \cap I \subset J^{\nu-\nu_0} I$. □

Lemma 6.2. *Let R be a domain of integrity. Consider an element g in $R \setminus \{0\}$ and an ideal $J \subset A$. Then there exists $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(J^\nu : g) \subset J^{\nu-\nu_0}$ for all $\nu \geq \nu_0$.*

Proof. We define $I = (g)$. Consider $h \in (J^\nu : g)$, we have $hg \in I \cap J^\nu$. By lemma 6.1 there exists $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $J^\nu \cap I \subset J^{\nu-\nu_0} I$ for $\nu \geq \nu_0$. Therefore h belongs to $J^{\nu-\nu_0}$. □

Let I be an ideal of $\mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. Fix a finite system $L = \{L_1, \ldots, L_d\}$ of generators of I. There exists a neighborhood W_L of the origin such that $L_j \in \vartheta(W_L)$ for $1 \leq j \leq d$. For $P = (x^0, x_1^0, \ldots, x_n^0) \in W_L$ we define the ideal I_P contained in the ring $\mathbb{C}\{x - x^0, x_1 - x_1^0, \ldots, x_n - x_n^0\}$ and generated by $L_1, \ldots, L_d$. The definition of I_P does not depend on the system of generators. For a different finite system of generators L' there exists a neighborhood of the origin $W_{LL'} \subset W_L \cap W_{L'}$ where both definitions of I_P coincide for all $P \in W_{LL'}$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $0 \neq f \in \mathbb{C}\{x, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$. There exists $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $\nu \geq \nu_0$ we have an open set $U_\nu \ni 0$ satisfying that for all $P \in U_\nu$ and A in $((x) + I(S(f)))_P^\nu$ such that $\partial\alpha/\partial x = A/f$ is special in a neighborhood of P there exists a special solution $\beta_P/(f_F \prod_{j=1}^p f_j^{l_j - 1})$ with $\beta_P \in ((x) + I(S(f)))_P^{\nu-\nu_0}$.*

Proof. Let $f_N = \prod_{j=1}^p f_j^{l_j}$. Denote $J = (x) + I(S(f))$. The proof is by induction on $l = \max_{j=1}^p l_j$. If $l = 0$ we can choose $\beta \in J^{\nu+1}$.

There exists $\nu_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(J^\nu : f_j) \subset J^{\nu-\nu_1}$ for all $\nu \geq \nu_1$ and all $1 \leq j \leq p$ by lemma 6.2. Indeed we obtain $(J_P^\nu : f_j) \subset J_P^{\nu-\nu_1}$ for all P in some open set $U_\nu^1 \ni 0$ by Oka's coherence theorem (see [5] page 67).

Denote $E = [\partial\alpha/\partial x = A/f]$ and $U_\nu^2 = \cap_{j=1}^p U_{\nu-(j-1)\nu_1}^1$. We can suppose $l_j \neq 1$ for $1 \leq j \leq p$ since otherwise we replace E with

$$\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial x} = \frac{A/\prod_{l_j=1} f_j}{f_F \prod_{l_j \neq 1} f_j^{l_j}}$$

where $A/\prod_{l_j=1} f_j \in J_P^{\nu-p\nu_1}$ for all $P \in U_\nu^2$ and all $\nu \geq p\nu_1$. A special solution $\beta'/(f_F \prod_{j=1}^p f_j^{l_j-1})$ of E is characterized by

$$\frac{\partial\beta'}{\partial x}\prod_{j=1}^p f_j - \beta' \sum_{j=1}^p (l_j - 1)\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x} \prod_{k\in\{1,\ldots,p\}\setminus\{j\}} f_k = A. \tag{3}$$

We define the ideal

$$I = \left(\prod_{j=1}^p f_j, \sum_{j=1}^p (l_j - 1)\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x} \prod_{k\in\{1,\ldots,p\}\setminus\{j\}} f_k\right).$$

Since E is special in the neighborhood of P then $A \in I_P$. By lemma 6.1 and Oka's theorem there exist $\nu_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I_P \cap J_P^\nu \subset I_P J_P^{\nu-\nu_3}$ for all P in some open set $U_\nu^3 \ni 0$ and all $\nu \geq \nu_3$. As a consequence there exist $B_P \in J_P^{\nu-p\nu_1-\nu_3}$ and $C_P \in J_P^{\nu-p\nu_1-\nu_3}$ such that

$$B_P \prod_{j=1}^p f_j - C_P \sum_{j=1}^p (l_j - 1)\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x} \prod_{k\in\{1,\ldots,p\}\setminus\{j\}} f_k = A. \tag{4}$$

for all $P \in U_\nu^2 \cap U_{\nu-p\nu_1}^3$ and all $\nu \geq p\nu_1 + \nu_3$. By subtracting the equations 3 and 4 we obtain $\beta' - C_P \in (\prod_{j=1}^p f_j)$. Therefore the function

$$\eta = \frac{(\beta' - C_P)/\prod_{j=1}^p f_j}{f_F \prod_{j=1}^p f_j^{l_j-2}}$$

is a special solution of

$$\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial x} = \frac{B_P - \partial C_P/\partial x}{f_F \prod_{j=1}^p f_j^{l_j-1}} \tag{5}$$

(see proof of lemma 5.5). We have that $B_P - \partial C_P/\partial x \in J_P^{\nu-p\nu_1-\nu_3-1}$ for all $\nu \geq p\nu_1 + \nu_3 + 1$. By the hypothesis of induction there exists $\nu_4 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a special solution $\gamma_P/(f_F \prod_{j=1}^p f_j^{l_j-2})$ of equation 5 such that γ_P belongs to $J_P^{\nu-p\nu_1-\nu_3-1-\nu_4}$ for all $\nu \geq p\nu_1 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 + 1$ and all $P \in U_\nu^4$ for some open set $U_\nu^4 \ni 0$. We define $\nu_0 = p\nu_1+\nu_3+\nu_4+1$ and $U_\nu = U_\nu^2 \cap U_{\nu-p\nu_1}^3 \cap U_\nu^4$. The function $\beta_P = C_P + \gamma_P \prod_{j=1}^p f_j$ belongs to $J_P^{\nu-\nu_0}$. Moreover $\beta_P/(f_F \prod_{j=1}^p f_j^{l_j-1})$ is a special solution of E in the neighborhood of P if $P \in U_\nu$. □

Next we prove proposition 6.1. The proof is based on the fact that in the neighborhood of the generic points of $S(f)$ the quotient "free of residues homological equations / special equations" generates a finite dimensional vector space over the meromorphic functions in $S(f)$.

proof of proposition 6.1. Let $\varphi_{2,\nu}$ be the diffeomorphism and U_ν be the open set given by proposition 6.2 for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. It is enough to prove that there exists $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varphi_1 \overset{sp}{\sim} \varphi_{2,\nu}$ for all $\nu \geq \nu_0$. Denote $f = x \circ \varphi_1 - x$. Let $f_N = \prod_{j=1}^p f_j^{l_j}$. We have that φ_1 and $\varphi_{2,\nu}$ are of the form $\exp(u_1 f \partial/\partial x)$ and $\exp(u_{2,\nu} f \partial/\partial x)$ respectively. Consider the homological equation $E_\nu = [\partial\alpha/\partial x = A_\nu/f]$ associated to φ_1 and $\varphi_{2,\nu}$. The equation E_ν is free of residues by proposition 6.2. Denote $J = (x) + I(S(f))$; we claim that $u_1 f - u_{2,\nu} f \in J^{\nu+1}$. Otherwise we have $u_1 f - u_{2,\nu} f \in J^a \setminus J^{a+1}$ for some $a < \nu + 1$. Note that since $S(f) \subset (\prod_{l_j \geq 2} f_j = 0)$ then $f \in I(S(f))^2$; this implies that $f \in J^2$ and $a \geq 2$. This property can be used to prove that

$$(u_1 f \partial/\partial x)^j(x) - (u_{2,\nu} f \partial/\partial x)^j(x) \in J^{a+1}$$

for all $j \geq 2$. As a consequence we obtain that $x \circ \varphi_1 - x \circ \varphi_{2,\nu} \notin J^{a+1}$ and that is impossible since $a+1 \leq \nu+1$. Since $A_\nu = (u_{2,\nu} f - u_1 f)/(u_1 u_{2,\nu} f)$ then $A_\nu \in J^{\nu-\nu_1}$ for all $\nu \geq \nu_1$ and some $\nu_1 \in \mathbb{N}$. The function A_ν is defined in some open set $U_\nu^1 \ni 0$.

Let $T(f)$ be the set of points of $S(f)$ where $S(f)$ is smooth and of local codimension 2. Consider $P = (0, x_1^0, \ldots, x_n^0) \in T(f)$; there exists $k(P) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\frac{\partial\alpha}{\partial x} = \frac{H^{k(P)} A_\nu}{f}$$

is special in the neighborhood of P for every $H \in \mathbb{C}\{x_1 - x_1^0, \ldots, x_n - x_n^0\}$ vanishing in $S(f)$ and all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, a review of the proof of proposition 5.3 implies that we can choose the same $k = k(P)$ for all $P \in (x = 0) \cap (T(f) \setminus F(f))$ where $F(f) \subset S(f)$ is a fibered analytic variety such that $\mathrm{cod}(F(f)) \geq 3$.

Fix $P = (0, x_1^0, \ldots, x_n^0) \in T(f) \setminus F(f)$. We can find new coordinates $(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ centered at $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (x_1^0, \ldots, x_n^0)$ such that $S(f) = (y_1 = 0) \cap (y_2 = 0)$.

Suppose that $P \in U_{\nu-\nu_1} \cap U_{\nu}^1$; by lemma 6.3 there exists $\nu_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the equation E_ν has a solution

$$\alpha_{\nu,P,j} = \frac{\beta_{\nu,P,j}}{y_j^k f_F \prod_{r=1}^{p} f_r^{l_r-1}}$$

where $\beta_{\nu,P,j} \in J_P^{\nu-\nu_1-\nu_2}$ for all $\nu \geq \nu_1 + \nu_2$, and $j \in \{1,2\}$. Consider the set $K_\delta = (|x| < \delta) \cap \cap_{j=1}^{n} (|y_j| < \delta)$ for some $\delta = \delta(\nu, P) > 0$ small enough. The element $\delta^0(E_\nu, K_\delta \setminus S(f))$ of $H^1(K_\delta \setminus S(f), \vartheta_D(f))$ is given by the function $\alpha_{\nu,P,1} - \alpha_{\nu,P,2}$. We obtain

$$(\alpha_{\nu,P,1} - \alpha_{\nu,P,2}) f_F = \frac{y_2^k \beta_{\nu,P,1} - y_1^k \beta_{\nu,P,2}}{y_1^k y_2^k \prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j^{l_j-1}}.$$

Since $\partial(\alpha_{\nu,P,1} - \alpha_{\nu,P,2})/\partial x = 0$ then $\prod_{j=1}^{p} f_j^{l_j-1}$ divides $y_2^k \beta_{\nu,P,1} - y_1^k \beta_{\nu,P,2}$. Hence there exists an open set $U_\nu^2 \ni 0$ such that for $P \in U_\nu^2$ the function $(\alpha_{\nu,P,1} - \alpha_{\nu,P,2}) f_F$ can be expressed in the form $h_{\nu,P}(y_1, \ldots, y_n)/(y_1^k y_2^k)$ where $h_{\nu,P} \in J_P^{\nu-\nu_1-\nu_2-\nu_3}$ for all $\nu \geq \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3$ and some $\nu_3 \in \mathbb{N}$. We define $\nu_0 = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + (2k-1)$. The set $J_P^b \cap \mathbb{C}\{y_1, \ldots, y_n\}$ is contained in $I(S(f))_P^b \subset (y_1, y_2)^b$ for all $b \in \mathbb{N}$, and then for $\nu \geq \nu_0$ the function $h_{\nu,P}/(y_1^k y_2^k)$ is of the form

$$\frac{h_{\nu,P}}{y_1^k y_2^k} = H + \sum_{0<j\leq k} \frac{c_j(y_2, \ldots, y_n)}{y_1^j} + \sum_{0<j\leq k} \frac{d_j(y_1, y_3, \ldots, y_n)}{y_2^j}$$

where H, c_j and d_j are holomorphic in K_δ for all $0 < j \leq k$. The function

$$\alpha_{\nu,P} \overset{def}{=} \alpha_{\nu,P,1} - (H + \sum_{-k\leq j<0} c_j y_1^j)/f_F = \alpha_{\nu,P,2} + (\sum_{-k\leq j<0} d_j y_2^j)/f_F$$

is a special solution of E_ν in K_δ for $\nu \geq \nu_0$.

Consider a polydisk $0 \in \Delta_\nu$ in the variables $(x, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ contained in the set $U_{\nu-\nu_1} \cap U_\nu^1 \cap U_\nu^2$. We denote $\pi(x, x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$. For all $\nu \geq \nu_0$ and $P \in (x = 0) \cap (T(f) \setminus F(f)) \cap \Delta_\nu$ there exists a polydisk $\Delta_{\nu,P} \subset \Delta_\nu$ centered at P and a special solution $\alpha_{\nu,P}$ of E_ν defined in $\Delta_{\nu,P}$. By using the homological equation we can extend $\alpha_{\nu,P}$ to $\Delta_\nu \cap \pi^{-1}(\Delta_{\nu,P} \setminus S(f))$ and then to $\Delta_\nu \cap \pi^{-1}(\Delta_{\nu,P})$ since $\mathrm{cod} S(f) \geq 2$. We obtain special solutions of E_ν $(\nu \geq \nu_0)$ in the neighborhood of every point not in $(S(f) \setminus T(f)) \cup F(f)$. Therefore we have

$$\delta^0(E_\nu) \in H^1(\Delta_\nu \setminus [(S(f) \setminus T(f)) \cup F(f)], \vartheta_D(f)).$$

Since the codimension of $(S(f) \setminus T(f)) \cup F(f)$ is greater or equal than 3 then $\delta^0(E_\nu) = 0$ for $\nu \geq \nu_0$. We deduce that $E_\nu \in Sp(f)$ and then $\varphi_1 \overset{sp}{\sim} \varphi_{2,\nu}$ for $\nu \geq \nu_0$ by proposition 5.10. □

References

[1] M. Artin. On the solutions of analytic equations. *Inventions Math.*, (5):277–291, 1968.

[2] M.F. Atiyah and I.G. MacDonald. *Introduction to commutative algebra*. Addison Wesley Publishing Company.

[3] A. D. Bruno. Analytic form of differential equations. *Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch.*, (25):119–262, 1971; (26):199-239, 1972.

[4] Cesar Camacho. On the local structure of conformal mappings and holomorphic vector fields in $\mathbb{C}^2$. *Asterisque*, (59-60):83–94, 1978.

[5] Robert C. Gunning. *Introduction to holomorphic functions of several variables*, volume II. Brooks/Cole Publishing Company, 1990.

[6] Leau. Étude sur les équations functionelles à une ou plusieurs variables. *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse*, (11), 1897.

[7] B. Malgrange. Travaux d'Écalle et de Martinet-Ramis sur les systèmes dynamiques. *Asterisque*, (92-93):59–73, 1982.

[8] J. Martinet and J.-P. Ramis. Classification analytique des équations differentielles non linéaires résonnantes du premier ordre. *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, 4(16):571–621, 1983.

[9] Jean Martinet. Remarques sur la bifurcation noeud-col dans le domaine complexe. singularités de équations différentielles (dijon 1985). *Asterisque*, (150-151):131–149, 1987.

[10] R. Pérez-Marco. Fixed points and circle maps. *Acta Math.*, 2(179):243–294, 1997.

[11] Robert Roussarie. Modeles locaux de champs et de formes. *Asterisque*, (30):181 pp., 1975.

[12] Günter Scheja. Riemannsche hebbarkeitssätze für cohomologieklassen. *Math. Ann.*, (144):345–360, 1961.

[13] C. L. Siegel. Iterations of analytic functions. *Ann. of Math.*, 43:807–812, 1942.

[14] S.M. Voronin. Analytical classification of germs of conformal mappings $(\mathbb{C}, 0) \to (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ with identity linear part. *Functional Anal. Appl.*, 1(15), 1981.

[15] J.-C. Yoccoz. Théorème de Siegel, polynômes quadratiques et nombres de Brjuno. *Asterisque*, (231), 1995.